VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF MINES, MINERALS AND ENERGY VIRGINIA GAS AND OIL BOARD HEARING

Tuesday, October 25, 2016

Lebanon, Virginia

BOARD MEMBERS:

Bill Harris—Public Member

Bruce Prather – Oil and Gas Industry Representative

Donnie Ratliff—Coal Industry Representative

Donnie Rife—Public Member

Mary Quillen—Public Member

APPEARANCES:

Bradley Lambert—Chairman of the Virginia Gas & Oil Board

Rick Cooper—Director of the Division of Gas & Oil and Principal Executive to the Staff of the Board

Sarah Gilmer—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Sally Ketron—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Paul Kugelman, Jr.—Senior Assistant Attorney General

Prepared by: Margaret Linford

Agenda Items

<u>Item Number</u>	Docket Number		<u>Page</u>
1	Public Comment		1
2	Escrow Quarterly Report		2
3	VGOB-04-1214-1372-02	(Approved)	17
4	VGOB-97-1021-0612-02	(Approved)	20
5	VGOB 06-0117-1564	(Approved)	23
6	VGOB-89-0126-0009-89	(Approved)	28
7	VGOB-08-0318-2181-01	(Approved)	36
8	VGOB-07-0515-1935-05	(Approved)	38
9	VGOB-16-0920-4098	(Approved)	42
10	VGOB 91-0716-0136-03	(Approved)	51
11	VGOB-92-0421-0218-01	(Approved)	63
24	VGOB-02-1217-1104-02	(Approved)	66
12	VGOB-92-0818-0248-02	(Approved)	71
13	VGOB-93-0622-0380-01	(Approved)	75
14	VGOB 98-0324-0628-04	(Approved)	78
15	VGOB-98-0324-0636-03	(Continued)	83
16	VGOB 00-1017-0827-02	(Approved)	83
17	VGOB-01-0116-0853-02	(Approved)	87
18	VGOB-01-0116-0854-02	(Approved)	90
19	VGOB-01-0116-0856-02	(Approved)	90
20	VGOB-01-0116-0857-01	(Approved)	90
22	VGOB-01-0821-0917-01	(Approved)	90
21	VGOB-01-0320-0878-04	(Approved)	98
23	VGOB 02-0716-1040-02	(Approved)	104
25	VGOB-03-0218-1116-02	(Continued)	106

26	VGOB-04-1116-1358-01	(Continued)	111
27	VGOB-05-0419-1427-03	(Continued)	112
28	VGOB-06-0117-1558-02	(Approved)	113
29	VGOB-06-0117-1559-02	(Approved)	118
30	Board & Division Activities from the Staff		122
	- Escrow disbursement statistics.		
	- Unfunded accounts update.		
31 123	Board Review of September 2016 Minu	utes	(Approved)

- 1 Bradley Lambert: Good morning! It's time to begin our proceedings, this morning. First of
- 2 all, I would like to ask, if you have cell phones or other communication devices, if you could
- 3 please put those on vibrate or silence those? If you need to take a call, please do so out in the
- 4 hall. We'll begin, this morning, by asking the Board to please introduce themselves. I'll begin to
- 5 my left.
- 6 **Paul Kugelman:** Paul Kugelman, with the Virginia Attorney General's Office.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** I'm Butch Lambert, with the Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy.
- 8 **Donnie Ratliff:** Donnie Ratliff, representing coal.
- 9 **Donnie Rife:** Donnie Rife, citizen appointed from Dickenson County.
- 10 **Bill Harris:** I'm Bill Harris, a public member from Wise County.
- Bruce Prather: I'm Bruce Prather. I represent the oil and gas industry, on the Board.
- 12 Mary Quillen: Mary Quillen, public member.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you.

14 <u>Item Number 1</u>

- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** At this time, we'll enter into public comment. I'll ask those that are coming
- forward to please state your name, for the record. I have, signed up this morning, Brenda Justice.
- 17 **Brenda Justice:** I'm Brenda.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** Do you want to come on up? Ms. Justice, if you'll sit in front of one of the
- microphones, please, and state your name, for the record.
- 20 **Brenda Justice:** I'm Brenda Justice.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Good morning.
- 22 **Brenda Justice:** My address is 1101 Long Wall Lane; Raven, VA.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** Good morning.
- 24 **Brenda Justice:** Hi. I've just got another question. I haven't got my money, yet, but Rick said
- 25 they're working on it. If she's got these split agreements on Grundy, that's why we had Levin
- 26 White to look into it. How come she put all of our money in escrow instead of going ahead and
- 27 mailing it to us? Anita Duty. If they're agreeing that that split-agreement is legit, which we
- 28 know it ain't, how can she keep putting our money in escrow and we can't get it?

- 1 <u>Donnie Rife:</u> It's better to put it in the escrow that to put it somewhere, where it's not supposed
- 2 to be.
- 3 **Brenda Justice:** Everybody else is getting theirs mailed to them. That's what I couldn't figure
- 4 out, but Rick Cooper told me they were working on getting the back...you know.
- 5 <u>Bill Harris:</u> Mr. Chairman, let me just ask who is the split agreement with?
- 6 **Brenda Justice:** It's supposed to be with me, with CNX.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** Rick, can you give us an update?
- 8 **Rick Cooper:** I guess Anita would need to speak on that, but I think the split agreement was
- 9 Hurt McGuire and you all. Correct? CNX is now leasing from them. We do have a couple of
- 10 items. I guess she's involved in a couple of the gobs that we have not processed, but there's some
- pending documents that I'm not sure why they have not been submitted. I really don't know the
- 12 answer to that.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Ms. Justice, the Board will ask Mr. Cooper to follow up on that, with CNX,
- and get back with you, as soon as possible.
- 15 **Brenda Justice:** All right. Thank you.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you, Ms. Justice.
- 17 **Brenda Justice:** Sorry to waste your time.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** That's no problem. Thank you. Is there anyone else in the audience that
- didn't sign up, that would like to speak? [No response] If not, we'll move to Item Number 2, on
- 20 our agenda, this morning.
- 21 <u>Item Number 2</u>
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** The Board will hear from First Bank and Trust, this morning, if you folks
- would please come forward. Good morning.
- 24 **Jody Maney:** Good morning.
- 25 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> Good morning.
- Jody Maney: We have the 3rd Quarter Summary, for 2016. Page 2 starts out our agenda. This
- is the items that we will discuss. Page 3, we started out with the beginning balance on July 1,
- 28 2016: \$21,744,395.40. We've had deposits of \$96,397.86. Interest earned, whether it be money
- 29 market, Seeders CD interest: \$12,211.91. Fees of \$5,372.72. Total distributions, just for this
- quarter: \$1,146,655.86. Adjustments were \$145.78, bringing us down to our ending balance, as

- of September 30, 2016: \$20,701,122.37. Starting on Page 4, this is a list of all of the
- 2 outstanding checks. This goes through Page 22. On Page 22, it gives you a breakdown of which
- 3 gas company has the outstanding checks: CNX, EQT, Range Resources, which is now EnerVest,
- and a total of \$226,434 roughly. These are all the outstanding checks, since the bank took over,
- 5 as Escrow Agent, whether they were issued on September 30 or 2010.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Can we stop there, just a second, on the outstanding checks? Looking at
- some of these, some of these go back to 2013, 2014, but the majority of these are from, well, the
- 8 earliest one here is in May 2016. Do we know why those checks are still outstanding? Do you
- 9 have any feedback? Rick?
- 10 **Jody Maney:** We have had some been returned.
- 11 **Rick Cooper:** We don't know about all these. A lot of people call in and just refuse to cash
- them. There's all kind of conditions. They think, if they cashed one, that they've entered into
- some type of agreement, which they haven't. Sometimes, they don't want the taxes. There's
- various reasons. A lot of people just do not respond or just do not cash the checks. We don't
- 15 know why they do not.
- Donnie Rife: Don't they have, like, 180 days to cash those checks?
- 17 **Rick Cooper:** I think CNX, after a couple of years, will actually re-issue the check. So, it could
- be five years before the bank would escheat it. Right, five years before the bank would escheat
- 19 it? So, the last time we got into this, when it got really close, Ms. Gilmer had contacted several
- 20 people and almost every one of them cashed their check, but one. Is that not correct, Sarah?
- 21 Sarah Gilmer: Yes.
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** So, everybody, but one. We give them six months or a year just to see what
- 23 they're going to do. Sometimes they call uncles and nephews and cousins and, if they agree to
- cash, they'll cash theirs. I really don't know why they do not on all of them.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** That's several pages of checks that are not cashed.
- 26 **Rick Cooper:** Most are this year.
- 27 Mary Quillen: It looks like there's only four that are really, really old...2013 and 2014.
- 28 **Jody Maney:** If you'll look on the next page, those are the ones that are really old, that will be
- escheated in 2017. The 2016 ones have just been escheated, this month. We sent the four that
- were five years old, to the State.
- 31 **Bruce Prather:** That escheating date has already been set up, for these checks?

- 1 **Jody Maney:** Yes, for these four. We've already submitted those to the State, just within the
- 2 last week.
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** Do you think it would be beneficial to contact these people?
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Donnie, I don't think we have the resources to contact each. We're talking
- 5 about hundreds of people. Our staff just don't have the resources or the time to do that. Let me
- 6 ask another question. These checks that are already written, are they still credited in the account,
- 7 or are they taken out and issued as part of the disbursements?
- 8 **Jody Maney:** They're in the disbursement total.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you.
- Jody Maney: Any other questions? [No response] On Page 23, like I said, this is what has been
- escheated, these top ones. \$7.85 has been escheated to the State, within the last week. The total
- for 2017, if these are not cashed, will be escheated next year, for \$1,250.93. Starting on Page 24,
- this is only for the month of September. This is the funded units for the month of September, in
- order by account number: beginning balance, deposit type, deposits, money market interest,
- Seeders interest, less fees, less distributions, any transfers to the No W-9 spreadsheet, any
- 16 corrections, and the ending market value. The total's on Page 38, ending market value of
- \$20,627,531.98. At the end of the No W-9 spreadsheet, on Page 46, the ending market value in
- the No W-9 account is \$73,590.39. That's a total of 489 lines on the No W-9 spreadsheet.
- 19 **Donnie Ratliff:** What page is that total on?
- 20 **Jody Maney:** 46, on the No W-9 spreadsheet
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Is that number growing or are we bringing that down, on not receiving W-
- 22 9's?
- 23 **Rick Cooper:** I would say those are all EnerVest, so it's, pretty much....
- 24 **Jody Maney:** EnerVest and there's a few EQT.
- 25 **Rick Cooper:** It's stayed pretty stable. We get one, every now and then, but it's not changing all
- that much. We may be adding one or two.
- 27 **Jody Maney:** We add a few and, then, there's six or eight, maybe, during the month, that come
- off. Usually, it's to the same people that are on there numerous times.
- 29 **Donnie Rife:** And, these are people that not been signing the W-9's.
- 30 **Jody Maney:** They're not returning the W-9.

- **Rick Cooper:** So, to answer your question, it's staying about balanced, where it's at. It's not
- 2 changing too awful much, is it, Ms. Maney?
- **Jody Maney:** Not a tremendous amount.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- **Jody Maney:** There is a lot of people that are on the No W-9 spreadsheet, that are entitled to
- 6 this money, if they would send the W-9 in.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** So, Mr. Chairman, does that just sit there or, after five years, is that escheated?
- **Bradley Lambert:** That's a good question.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** There's not a check been issued.
- **Jody Maney:** That just sits there. There's not a check that's been issued to these people. It's just
- transferred out into a separate account and held until they send their W-9 in. Then, once they
- send the W-9 in, then I would issue a check to them. At that point, it starts the five-year
- escheatment, once the check has been cut.
- **Donnie Rife:** After five years, it does what?
- **Jody Maney:** Once the check has been cut to the people, then that starts the five-year
- escheatment. So, right now, it's just sitting in an account, waiting for them to submit their W-9.
- **Rick Cooper:** I guess they could sit there indefinitely, then. Correct?
- **Jody Maney:** Yes, and not be escheated to the State.
- **Mary Quillen:** That five-year time frame doesn't start until you do get the W-9.
- **Jody Maney:** And a check has been cut. Yes.
- 21 Mary Quillen: And you don't cut the check until you do get a W-9?
- **Jody Maney:** Until I get a W-9, yes.
- **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- **Bruce Prather:** Does the State have any rules governing the time period when these things start
- on that escheat record?
- **Jody Maney:** Only after we have disbursed the check. If we have had no communication with
- 27 that person in five years, if we've not issued them another check and they've cashed that check,
- 28 then the five years would start over. These people that have been escheated, this year, they do

- 1 not have any relationship with First Bank and Trust, that we could deposit the money into or re-
- 2 issue them a cashier's check or anything like that. So, their money is submitted to the State.
- 3 Mary Quillen: When that list is published, they can contact the State?
- 4 **Jody Maney:** Yes.
- 5 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** When you say that list is published, that list is published?
- 6 Mary Quillen: Yes.
- 7 **Jody Maney:** There's a list on the State's website, of escheatment.
- 8 **Bill Harris:** Okay.
- 9 **Bruce Prather:** Should it be advertised in the newspaper?
- 10 Mary Quillen: It is. It's in the newspaper, too.
- 11 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- 13 Mary Quillen: In recent years, it has been. I don't know if it's always been, but I know in
- 14 recent years...
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Does this Board have the authority to set up a timeline that, if they don't return
- the W-9 within five years, that that money would go back into our miscellaneous account that we
- use to supplement shortages?
- 18 **Paul Kugelman:** Supplement shortages for?
- 19 **Donnie Ratliff:** When we have discrepancies in the bank account.
- 20 **Paul Kugelman:** If you want me to look into it more carefully, I will, but I don't think so. The
- 21 reason I say that is because it still is somebody's money, even though they won't come forward
- and claim it. There are specific statutory provisions for dealing with that, but the Board's not
- vested with that authority, specifically. That's vested to the Treasury Department.
- 24 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman:** If you want me to look into it, I'll be happy to.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** This is going to grow, so we need to do something. We need to resolve this.
- 27 **Paul Kugelman:** I understand.
- 28 **Donnie Ratliff:** Thank you.

- 1 <u>Jody Maney:</u> Any other questions on those? [No response] On Page 47, this is a list of the
- 2 unfunded units. There's five unfunded units, remaining. I know Mr. Cooper and his staff have
- 3 worked very hard to clear those up.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** How are we doing with that, Mr. Cooper?
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** Ms. Duty. I guess the question has come up about the five unfunded accounts
- 6 that CNX still has, outstanding. Can you give us a progress report on that?
- 7 Anita Duty: All of those accounts have been in the process of entering, I think this month's
- 8 accounting period, so they should show up in the next, in Jody's next report. So, it's just a matter
- 9 of they've been sent there, just not posted. All the accounts should be funded now. I sent you
- the updates every time the accounting department gave me an update....
- 11 **Rick Cooper:** I guess to answer the Board's question, I'll have to report that out in November,
- when the deposits are made. Correct?
- 13 Anita Duty: I can send you confirmation or I can show you the confirmation or the spreadsheet
- that we send Jody.
- 15 **Rick Cooper:** So, those deposits haven't been made, as of yesterday, in those five accounts.
- Anita Duty: They'll be the 25th or 26th, which is today.
- 17 **Rick Cooper:** Yes. I guess we'll report that out at the next Board meeting. Currently, we have
- 18 five outstanding unfunded.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** All right.
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** We'll look for a deposit today or by the end of the month.
- 21 **Jody Maney:** Okay, which will be reflected in the October spreadsheet.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you.
- 23 **Jody Maney:** The next page is the annual distributions, starting from when the bank began, as
- Escrow Agent, in 2010, through 2016. The royalty and working income we have received for
- 25 2016, January through September, is \$313,701. The distributions that have been paid, January
- 26 through September, is \$5,547,431, for the year 2016. 2015, for the entire year, was \$4,492,896.
- 27 So, we've exceeded last year's distributions.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** That's great.
- 29 **Jody Maney:** Any questions on that? [No response] Page 49 is a summary of the investments.
- We have money market interest, money market Seeders, a First Bank and Trust CD for

- \$250,000, and then two brokered CD's, totaling \$378,000. Total dollars invested is \$20,701,163,
- with the majority of it being in ICS money market.
- 3 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> Okay. Unless there's any questions, we'll go to the next page, Page 50. I'll be
- 4 brief, here, on the next several pages. This is just a simple snapshot of the yields, which was
- 5 evident in the last page, as well, showing the brokered CD's, the Seeders rate, the two brokered
- 6 CD's and the CD that's held, directly, with First Bank and Trust. We put those respective rates
- 7 on there and what kind of the blended annual yield or the annual interest received on these
- 8 invested monies are. You can see, at the time, we have a very small amount actually in brokered
- 9 CD's, again, with the bulk remaining in the ICS money market, \$500,000 in Seeders and
- \$250,000 held, directly, with First Bank and Trust. Moving on to the next page, what we've
- shown here are a couple of CD rates, the best CD rates we can get, either through direct or a
- brokered CD that's traded. The rates right here, the DTC is the brokered CD market. I've listed
- the rates between 3 months all the way going out to 3 years. You can see it starts at a .5% at 3
- months and goes out a 3-year, at 1.25%. The next page, or a couple pages below, will show the
- comparison between trade and treasury rates. Before we do that, I did want to mention interest
- rates have been.... Many people in the market, many investors have anticipated interest rates
- increasing, for some time. Of course, that hasn't happened, but we did list the probability of a
- rate hike by the Federal Reserve. This is a consensus expectation. It does not reflect our
- 19 opinion. We simply wanted to state that. If you look at the December meeting and then going
- into the early meetings of 2017, as of now, there's a high consensus they're going to raise the
- short-term rate, which would have an impact on some of these CD rates, certainly, if that did
- happen. Of course, we have no opinion on whether that will happen, or not, but we simply
- wanted to show that information. The probabilities have increased, now, relative to in the past.
- 24 Mary Quillen: The short-term, what is that time frame that you're looking at, the probability for
- 25 the short-term?
- 26 **C.J. Carter:** At their November meeting, which is in a couple weeks, it's a relatively low
- 27 probability that they're going to raise the short-term interest rates, but you can see that the next
- 28 meeting date is in December.
- 29 **Mary Quillen:** I'm sorry.
- 30 **C.J. Carter:** That's okay.
- 31 Mary Quillen: I wasn't looking. I was looking up at the rates. Thank you.
- 32 **C.J. Carter:** Yes. Unless there are any questions, we'll move on. I wanted to take a second
- and, again, reiterate the differences between accessing the money that's invested in CD's. When
- I talk about Seeders, these are, as you probably heard me speak before and it's been in these
- presentations, Seeders are all FDIC insured. It's a program, whereby the FDIC coverage is
- simply brokered out to different banks, so the First Bank and Trust can hold those monies, except

- 1 it's brokered out, from an insurance perspective. The real negative for the Seeders rates that are
- 2 utilized in Seeders CD's, would be the early withdrawal penalties. They can be substantial. I've
- 3 listed out here, under the cons on Page 52, I really wanted to bring this to everyone's attention.
- 4 The shorter the Seeder's term is, so it could be up to 13 weeks, 100% of all interest would be
- 5 forfeited. There's a possibility that it will invade principal, as well. Essentially, how this works
- 6 is that if the term is short enough, they're going to calculate the penalty, the interest, over the
- 7 entirety of the term. So, if you had a 2-month CD and you withdrew and only had it for one
- 8 month, you're still responsible for two month's worth of interest. So, that one month of interest
- 9 would be kind of credited to principal. So, I just wanted to make sure everyone is aware of that.
- That's, certainly, a negative with these early withdrawal penalties, associated with the Seeders
- program. Also, our bank has no control over that. That's through the Seeders program. So, it's
- not like First Bank and Trust has any control over early withdrawal penalties.
- 13 Mary Quillen: I think that we looked at that when we first started looking at this to be sure and
- that's the reason we keep that in that money market, so we will not have to do that because we
- have had some of those short-term, like 6 months and a year. So, we won't have to go to those if
- our distribution. That's the reason. So, I think that we have looked at that or you all looked at
- that when we first started this with you all. I think that it's gone very well because we have not
- had to forfeit anything because we have managed to keep that money market account for our
- disbursements that we can....
- 20 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> That's correct. We have gone over this before. I just wanted to bring it up, again.
- 21 Mary Quillen: Okay. Thanks.
- 22 **C.J. Carter:** No problem. On that light, we'll talk about brokered CD's, or what I've labeled
- here as, "DPC." They still have the FDIC insurance, which is the first, primary, criteria. They,
- 24 generally, have higher rates than the Seeders program. There's no early withdrawal penalties;
- 25 however, they are subject to market fluctuation. So, if you sold a brokered CD before it matured,
- you could sell it for a little bit more or for a little bit less. There's no way to know that. It
- depends on the fluctuation of interest rates during that term. So, it could end up off-setting all
- interest or more or a portion of it, so there's really no right or wrong. I just wanted to make sure
- everyone was aware of the early withdrawal penalties or liquidating some of these CD's before
- 30 maturity. I just wanted to make sure everybody understood that.
- 31 **Bill Harris:** Mr. Chairman?
- 32 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Harris.
- 33 **Bill Harris:** Let me just ask a question.
- 34 C.J. Carter: Sure.

- Bill Harris: On the previous page, you were talking about the probability of the rates changing
- 2 or, at least, I would imagine increase. When we purchase CD's of any type, we're locked into
- 3 that rate for the term. Is that correct? They don't float with the interest rate or anything like that?
- 4 **C.J. Carter:** That is correct. If you purchase a CD, you have the stated interest rate. That's
- 5 correct.
- 6 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** So, I know, in the past, when we've recommended using more money to buy CD's
- 7 or whatever, would it be your recommendation to wait until the end of the year, to see what the
- 8 interest rates are going to do, before making a move, in that regard?
- 9 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> I don't think I can make a recommendation. I don't know, of course, what the
- decision will be in the next meeting or the meeting after. I do know the market anticipates these
- decisions. They're, certainly, looking at these probabilities. That already, potentially, could be
- reflected in the rates, already. Therefore, it would be minimal impact, if it does happen. So, I
- think that's why you would have a laddering approach. You would have CD's in different
- maturities, to kind of mitigate that risk, which is what we currently.
- 15 **Mary Quillen:** I think that's what we have done to help to overcome that possibility that that
- would happen, but since we have done this since we started with First Bank and Trust, we have
- 17 never had to liquidate anything, as far as the Seeders or the CD's because we've always kept sort
- of an eye on the future for distribution through the money market account. Even though the rate
- of interest is much lower on that, we still have the higher interest rates. We have not been
- 20 impacted by that, in any way.
- 21 **C.J. Carter:** That's correct. We have never had to liquidate a CD.
- 22 Mary Quillen: I think that was the whole point of doing that, at the recommendation that First
- Bank and Trust gave us, when we first set these up. Thank you.
- 24 **C.J. Carter:** The next page is, simply, a rate comparison of the kind of very no-risk options,
- 25 here, or fairly low-risk, talking about Treasury rates and CD rates. Simply, what we've shown
- here is a chart and, then also, at the bottom of the page, showing what the Treasury rates are,
- comparing with the last meeting, in July. You can see that the Seeders rates have been very
- sticky, or have not changed across the spectrum of one month to three years. They've stayed,
- essentially, the exact same. Treasury rates have increased, pretty much, across the board, from
- 30 July to October, yet they still remain lower than CD rates. I think it's, certainly, worth
- 31 monitoring, if Treasury rates ever did become higher than CD rates. I think it, certainly, would
- 32 be worth consideration to look at treasuries, as a possible investment opportunity. You can see
- the DTC rates have actually gone down on the 1, 3 and 6-month and the 1-year and have edged
- up a little bit in the 2 and 3-years. So, rates have actually gone down, generally speaking, across
- 35 those terms, for the last three months. Again, this is just for comparison purposes. Any
- 36 questions?

- Bill Harris: One other. I'm just trying to look at our investment of funds, on Page 49. I really
- 2 don't recall, but we usually go out two years. Have we gone out three years on any of our
- 3 investments?
- 4 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> I don't believe so. I believe a year has been the longest, under your direction.
- 5 <u>Bill Harris:</u> Okay. Thank you. I'm just curious.
- 6 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> Okay. We've been asked, at the last meeting, that we were in attendance, to maybe
- 7 put together a recommendation on how to, perhaps, reallocate \$5,000,000 that's currently in the
- 8 ICS money market into something longer-term. We have put together three different proposals.
- 9 They're very similar. The only difference, really, is in the rates, on how far the committee would
- be willing to go out, in terms of maturities. So, I'll just kind of, real quickly, talk through the
- proposals. I anticipate some questions. Please stop me any time. I'd be more than happy to
- answer them. The first proposal that we've put forth would be a very short-term proposal. This
- is on Page 54. You can see here, 3-months, 6-months, 9-months and 1-year CD's we purchased.
- 14 These would all be brokered CD's, simply because the rates are much higher than we can get in
- the Seeders program, especially for the short-term. So, you can see the corresponding rates, here
- at 50 basis points or .5% for 3 months, going up to about 76 basis points for the 12-month. You
- kind of get a blended rate of 0.63%. You can see it's not a perfect allocation of the amount for
- each maturity, but it's pretty close. You can see it's \$1,000,000 for the 3-months. Then, it jumps
- to \$1,250,000 for 6-months and back to \$1,000,000 at 9-months and \$1,750,000 for the 12-
- 20 month. This is just a proposal. Whatever the Board decides to do, we can do any piece. This is
- 21 just three proposals. So, if you wanted to do all one term, we certainly could.
- 22 Mary Quillen: Are all three of these proposals for these brokered CD's?
- 23 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> No. Some will have the Seeders CD's in there, as well.
- 24 **Mary Quillen:** I'm getting ahead of you.
- 25 **C.J. Carter:** No, that's a great question. These are all brokered CD's, though, for this first
- 26 proposal.
- 27 Mary Quillen: Okay, just the first one.
- 28 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> Just the first one, yes. The second proposal, we're going a little further out. It
- starts at 6-months and goes all the way out to 18-months, with 6, 9, 12 months and, then 18-
- months. In this proposal, the 6-month, 9-month and 12-month, would be all brokered CD's. The
- 31 18-month would actually be a Seeders CD and that rate is higher than we can get in the brokered
- market, or at least, matching what we can get in the brokered market. You can see that that's
- 33 1.1%, for the 18-months and kind of blended rate, for this proposal, was certainly higher than the
- first one, at 0.79%. It's higher because we're going further out. We're getting more interest for
- 35 the longer CD maturities that are selected. The third proposal, these are all CD's, of course. It

- goes even further out. It goes from 12-months, 18-months, 24-months and 36-months, at 3 years.
- 2 These would almost all, or entirely, Seeders. We, certainly, could mix some brokered CD's in
- 3 there and might have to. I want to take a second to, how the brokered CD market works are there
- 4 are banks that need to raise funds and they'll have an allotted amount, maybe it's \$5,000,000 or
- \$10,000,000, and once that amount has been filled, then they're kind of out of the market. So,
- 6 each day, that could change. You know, the amount availability and the rates, but typically they
- stay very constant. This proposal, again, mostly would be Seeders and, going further out, the
- 8 blended rate would be 1.09%, which is certainly the highest of the three proposals, but you're
- 9 going the furthest out, in terms of maturity. So, as everyone knows, a lot of this would depend
- on the anticipated distributions and how much we have remaining in the ICS money market,
- which is very liquid, versus how much you really want to push out a little bit further. There are,
- of course, these early withdrawal penalties associated with it the further you go out, if you try to
- 13 liquidate before maturity.
- 14 Mary Quillen: These new investments, are you suggesting that we begin this at the beginning
- of the new year or during this 4th quarter?
- 16 **C.J. Carter:** I really have no recommendations. It could be done either way. Again, that's kind
- of getting back to having the different maturities kind of spread out kind of mitigates any risks.
- 18 Mary Quillen: I think the 6 and the 12-months, particularly now that we have aggressively been
- really pushing for these distributions.... This is just my personal opinion. 6-month and 12-
- 20 month, I think is really good for us. I would be a little nervous going out beyond 12 months, but
- 21 that's just my personal opinion. Particularly, we want to be sure that we have the cash flow to
- 22 continue these distributions. We have no way of knowing. It might be a period of time that we
- would not have that many and, then, all of sudden, we'd just have a lot. Then, we would be
- forced to, maybe, take a loss. Obviously, we would have to do that. That's my concern. I'm just
- 25 very conservative.
- 26 **Bruce Prather:** I think this thing set up originally to cover the fees. In other words, the fees
- that was involved in taking care of this account. So far, we've been able to get enough interest
- off of this account to pay those fees.
- 29 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 30 **C.J. Carter:** I can't speak to how it was set up, but you're correct. The interest earned has
- 31 exceeded the fees.
- 32 **Bruce Prather:** See, that was our problem, prior to having you people do it, was that sometimes
- we weren't matching the fees and we were having to get into the principal.
- Mary Quillen: Those of us that have been around for a long time are a little gun-shy because of
- past history, prior to beginning our relationship with First Bank and we have had no problems

- with you folks and we really appreciate that. We get a little nervous because, as Bruce just
- 2 mentioned, some of the past things that we have.... He's right. The interest has covered the fees.
- 3 **Bill Harris:** This \$5,000,000 is 25% of the \$20,000,000 that's a balance, now?
- 4 **C.J. Carter:** Right. I would mention that, even if worst case scenario, all \$5,000,000 had to be
- 5 liquidated prior to maturity, my guess is the interest earned, even the 20 basis points on the liquid
- 6 amount would probably offset that or come very close to doing so because it's only 25% of the
- 7 total outstanding.
- 8 **Bruce Prather:** If I was inclined to do anything, I would recommend we go out the extra six
- 9 months and see how things work out.
- 10 **Bill Harris:** 12, 18 or 36.
- 11 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> You can do any combination of any of these. These are just three proposals I put
- together, more for discussion purposes. I do want to state these are just CD's. I didn't consider
- any Virginia taxable monies, that are highly rated, or any corporate bonds, that are highly rated.
- 14 That's, certainly, something to consider. I'd be more than happy to put something together next
- time, for that. You could earn a little more, in terms of return, but you're certainly taking a little
- more risk, credit risk, associated with that. Perhaps, once there is a better idea of what funds will
- 17 likely be here for many years, then perhaps that would be a better time to think about longer-term
- investment options; nevertheless, I wanted to mention that.
- 19 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- 21 **Donnie Ratliff:** On Page 48, on your distributions, the \$5,547,000 that's been distributed in
- 22 2016, that's through the end of September. Right?
- 23 **Jody Maney:** Yes.
- 24 **Donnie Ratliff:** So, if you extrapolate that out, that's going to be about 7.4 million. That would
- be the biggest year we've had. We still have 20 [million].
- 26 Mary Quillen: If we take 25% of that, that's going to back us back to 15 million.
- 27 **Donnie Ratliff:** The biggest year we've had, with 7.4.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** I think we also have to take into consideration, we did talk about this, the
- 29 funds that, potentially, could be escheated. Also, we need to consider that the funds that are in
- that Yellow Poplar, that there's a lawsuit pending. That money may be paid all at once. We
- 31 don't know how that lawsuit may be settled. That's 4 million.
- 32 **Rick Cooper:** The last we calculated, 4.2 million, for Yellow Poplar.

- 1 Mary Quillen: So, that would back it down, if that were the case, just a little over 10 million, if
- 2 that should come to pass.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** So, given that fact, those two things, a year would be a long time for us to
- 4 even consider any long-term investments.
- 5 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** Of course, there are going to be deposits, too.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** There will be, but we can see that the deposits are reducing, which is a good
- 7 thing. More money is going out than coming in. That's what we'll have to see.
- 8 Mary Quillen: Right. We want to be sure that we've got all our bases covered when that time
- 9 for that pay-out comes.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** So, we're looking at a potential \$10,000,000 that could happen in a very
- short period of time, to come out of the fund.
- 12 **Donnie Rife:** How long has this been pending? A very short period of time with Yellow Poplar.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** It's been pending a long time, but...
- **Paul Kugelman:** The trial is set for January or February. February 1-14 is when the trial is set
- on the property ownership. Then, if they prevail in the property ownership, there will be another
- trial set for damages. That will actually impact us, directly, if they prevail, in property interest
- 17 claim.
- 18 Mary Quillen: February 14 is not that far away. How long would you say that this would be in
- 19 litigation?
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** It's been for years, but...
- 21 Mary Quillen: I know it has been, but there's never been a date set until just recently.
- 22 **Paul Kugelman:** It could end February 14, if everybody agrees to move along, quietly, after
- 23 the...
- 24 **Mary Quillen:** Oh, okay.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman:** Let me cover the waterfront, here.
- 26 **Mary Quillen:** Yes.
- 27 **Paul Kugelman:** If the plaintiffs lose and they do not appeal to the 4th Circuit, which I think is
- unlikely, but if they did, then it would end somewhere around the middle of February. If they
- lose and they appeal to the 4th Circuit, that would add three months and that would depend on

- what the 4th Circuit said. So, going to the outside, so the short-term. The long-term is, I would
- 2 imagine, less than 2 years because, after that point, it's just a damages issue.
- 3 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 4 **Donnie Rife:** As long as this has been pending, I'd say 2 years is going to be, even on the long
- 5 end of it's going to be real quick.
- 6 Paul Kugelman: Yes, sir.
- 7 **Donnie Ratliff:** So, we escrowed the money to protect the correlative rights, so how can we be
- 8 charged with damages?
- 9 **Paul Kugelman:** I don't want to get into a full-blown litigation analysis, from the
- 10 Commonwealth's perspective because I don't want to divulge anything that's protected by
- attorney-client privilege, but I will say that, regardless of whether the Commonwealth is actually
- found to be liable for any kind of damages to Yellow Poplar, if they are deemed to have the
- property rights, they would be deemed to have their money. The concern isn't so much that the
- 14 Commonwealth is found liable. The concern is that we have a sudden draw on the funds,
- regardless of our liability. Does that answer your question, sir?
- 16 **Donnie Ratliff:** Yes.
- 17 **Donnie Rife:** I understand your question because we've done everything we can to protect their
- money and their interest and we're still held liable?
- 19 **Paul Kugelman:** There is no foregone conclusion that we're at risk.
- 20 **Donnie Ratliff:** We've got two items that's going to come due in December. Right?
- 21 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> That's correct, two brokered CD's are coming due in December.
- 22 **Donnie Ratliff:** Those total?
- 23 **Jody Maney:** \$378,000.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** So, we're still going to need to make a decision, by December, on what to do
- 25 with those that we have coming due.
- 26 **C.J. Carter:** That's correct. Absent any direction, then it would just flow into the money
- 27 market account.
- 28 <u>Bill Harris:</u> I'm still kind of intrigued by the possibility of the interest rates going up. I was
- 29 wondering if we make a decision now and, then, next month, they're up .1% or ten basis points
- or something. I don't know if they would jump that much, but I guess what I'm suggesting is
- 31 maybe wait and see what happens.

- 1 **Donnie Ratliff:** So, if we wait until December, we're still okay making a decision on the
- 2 investments?
- 3 **Bill Harris:** Sure.
- 4 Mary Quillen: I definitely think we should wait until December.
- 5 **C.J. Carter:** As long as I have clear direction on how to act from you, that's fine.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. So, no action. You would just roll them over into the money market.
- 7 <u>C.J. Carter:</u> That's correct.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. I think that will be a good option.
- 9 **Mary Quillen:** Yes.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** For right now. Then, by next quarter, we still don't know what may or may
- 11 not happen during the General Assembly, as far as the escrow account. We haven't heard of
- anything, but that doesn't mean that some legislation may be introduced that would affect this.
- By February, we may have some, a clearer understanding, of what may be happening with
- Yellow Poplar, so my suggestion is that we just let it go, as it is for right now. Any other
- recommendations from the Board?
- 16 **Bill Harris:** I agree.
- 17 **Mary Quillen:** We don't know what the General Assembly may do. We need to tread lightly.
- 18 **Donnie Ratliff:** We really, really appreciate you guys.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Absolutely.
- 20 Mary Quillen: Yes.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** We certainly do. I'm sure that Rick and his staff appreciate it, as well.
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** Yes, Mr. Chairman. I wanted to point that out. We have asked a lot from Ms.
- Maney and she and I have had this discussion multiple times. I don't see her load lightening up
- in the next six months, so we've talked about that. She has taken on the task of doing some of
- 25 the other items that you all are not aware of and I appreciate her for doing that and working
- 26 cooperatively with us. Again, I have to say I see no relief within six months. We've talked about
- 27 that, haven't we? She has done a great job. It's been a pleasure to work with her.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** Well, it's good to see the account going down. That's what this Board is
- 29 excited to hear about.
- 30 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** That's probably the opposite of what you usually hear, but it's a good thing for us.

- 1 **Donnie Rife:** We do appreciate you, though. Thank you.
- 2 Mary Quillen: Thank you.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you.
- 4 Mary Quillen: We really appreciate it. Like I said, those of us who have been on this Board for
- 5 an extended period of time have seen multiple entities that we have worked with and we have
- 6 never, not even come close, to having the kind of service and information and understanding of
- 7 what's going on like we have had since we've worked with First Bank and Trust. We sincerely,
- 8 sincerely appreciate it.
- 9 **Jody Maney:** We appreciate that.
- Mary Quillen: Your hard work and you've helped us, tremendously, and made us feel more
- 11 comfortable with what we are doing.
- 12 **C.J. Carter:** Most of that credit goes to Jody.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you all. Thank you, very much. Have a good day.

14 <u>Item Number 3</u>

- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 3. A petition from EQT Production
- 16 Company, for disbursement of funds on behalf of all known owners in Tracts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.
- 17 This is Docket Number VGOB-04-1214-1372-02. All parties wishing to testify please come
- 18 forward.
- 19 **Jim Kaiser:** Mr. Chairman, Board members, Jim Kaiser and Deborah Lombardo, on behalf of
- 20 EQT Production.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Good morning.
- 22 Sarah Gilmer: Ms. Lombardo, do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the
- whole truth and nothing but the truth?
- 24 **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, I do.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- Jim Kaiser: Ms. Lombardo, if you'd state your name, who you're employed by and in what
- 27 capacity?
- 28 **Deborah Lombardo:** My name is Deborah Lombardo. I'm employed by EQT Corporation. I'm
- 29 a Division Order Analyst.

- **Jim Kaiser:** And, we filed a disbursement request for this unit. Is that correct?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, sir.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all parties been notified, as required by statute?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, they have.
- **Jim Kaiser:** What unit are we dealing with, here?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Well Number 535601, the last four digits of Docket 1372.
- 7 <u>Jim Kaiser:</u> Which tracts are we disbursing from?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Tracts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Will this be a partial or full disbursement?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Partial.
- **Jim Kaiser:** The reason for the disbursement?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** I believe we've located some of the owners and, also, because of House
- 13 Bill 2058.
- **Jim Kaiser:** I think, originally, there was a split agreement on it, too. Right?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes. The previous disbursement did have a split agreement.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have the figures been reconciled between EQT and the Escrow Agent?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, they have.
- **Jim Kaiser:** That's as of what date?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** June 2016.
- Jim Kaiser: And, this was one that the Board could not approve, administratively, because there
- 21 is money left, so to speak. There's, basically, an overage in the escrow account. Is that correct?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** That's correct.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Can you, at this point, kind of explain what happened here?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** There were three tracts that were not part of the escrow and EQT had to
- 25 make a catch-up disbursement on those tracts because of the first disbursement, the year-one
- 26 disbursement. They were excluded for a period of time, so we made a deposit to put those three
- tracts back in. That deposit, once everything came out and washed out, it looks as though there's

- an extra \$1,700, or some money, there that's left over. We're attributing that, we believe, to the
- 2 interest. So, that's why we're here because it's over the allowable \$500.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Right. So, the escrow account has an extra \$1,744.83 in it?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** That's correct.
- **Jim Kaiser:** I believe this well was drilled in 2004.
- **Deborah Lombardo:** If that's what you have on there, yes, I believe that's correct.
- 7 Jim Kaiser: So, your department is attributing this \$1,744 to, basically, 12-years' worth of
- 8 interest.
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, sir.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Okay. With our petition, did we file a Table 1?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** We did.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Would you ask the Board to use the next to the last column to the right, on Table 1,
- as to what percentage of escrowed funds should be disbursed?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Does Table 1 represent the interest owners and the amounts and percentage of the
- disbursement they should receive?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, sir.
- Jim Kaiser: Have we provided the Board with Exhibits E and EE, to reflect the status of this
- unit, after this disbursement?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, we have.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Would we ask that the Board direct, in their order, that all royalty due and owing to
- 22 these interest owners be paid directly, going forward?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, we do.
- 24 <u>Jim Kaiser:</u> Nothing further of this witness, at this time, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 26 Mary Quillen: Just one clarification. These are all a result of the House Bill. Correct?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Mary Quillen: Okay, so they are all 100%.
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes.
- **Mary Quillen:** Thank you.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Kaiser?
- **Jim Kaiser:** Mr. Chairman, we would ask that the application be approved, as submitted.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- **Bill Harris:** I'll second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 10 favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Kaiser. That is approved.

13 <u>Item Number 4</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 4. A petition from EQT Production
- 15 Company, for disbursement, petition for release of escrowed funds on behalf of all known oil
- and gas owners on Tract 2. This is Docket Number VGOB-97-1021-0612-02. All parties
- wishing to testify please come forward.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Mr. Chairman, Board Members, again, Jim Kaiser and Deborah Lombardo, for
- 19 EQT.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- 21 Jim Kaiser: Ms. Lombardo, again, this is a disbursement request, filed by EQT, on behalf of the
- interest owners in Tract 2, here, I believe.
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all interested parties been notified, as required by statute?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, they have.
- **Jim Kaiser:** This is actually a dual-well, so this is for the drilling unit, designated as VAD-
- 27 773738.

- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And, we're disbursing from Tract 2?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, sir.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And, this is a partial disbursement?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, it is.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Again, the reason for the disbursement?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** House Bill 2058.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have the figures been reconciled between EQT and the Escrow Agent?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, they have.
- **Jim Kaiser:** That's as of what date?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** May 2016.
- **Jim Kaiser:** This is another case, where the department didn't feel like they could approve it,
- administratively, because again, there is an additional \$574, \$573.99, in the escrow account.
- 14 Correct?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Correct.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Again, EQT is attributing this additional money to interest that's accrued, over a
- time period?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** We filed a Table 1, with our petition. Is that correct?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, we did.
- **Jim Kaiser:** As far as the Board disbursing any money, would you again direct them to the next
- 22 to last column on the right, as to the percentage of escrowed funds they should use to disburse?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes.
- Jim Kaiser: Does Table 1 include all interest owners that should receive disbursements, their
- 25 percentages and their amounts?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, it does.

- 1 Jim Kaiser: Did we provide the Board with an Exhibit E and EE, to reflect the status of this
- 2 unit, after this disbursement?
- **<u>Deborah Lombardo:</u>** Yes, we have.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Would you ask that any order from the Board direct that all royalties be paid to
- 5 these folks directly, going forward?
- **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Nothing further of this witness, at this time, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- **Bruce Prather:** Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Prather.
- **Bruce Prather:** Jim, is this well in Wise County?
- **Jim Kaiser:** Yes, it is.
- **Bruce Prather:** I think we've got an interest in it, so I'm going to have to recuse myself.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 15 Kaiser?
- **Jim Kaiser:** No. We'd ask that the application be approved, as submitted, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made, Mr. Chairman, for approval.
- **Bill Harris:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 21 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll abstain, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Two abstentions. Mr. Prather and Mr. Ratliff.

Item Number 5

- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 5. On the Board's own motion, EQT
- 3 will provide testimony, as to how the funds need to be corrected for units V-535433, Docket
- 4 Number 06-0117-1564, and V-536200. An escrow account needs to be set up for docket 1516
- 5 and funds that were incorrectly deposited into 1564 will need to be transferred into 1516. We
- 6 don't have a petition. We don't have any information. I think they're going to provide that for us.
- 7 This is going to be an interesting one, I expect.
- 8 **Deborah Lombardo:** It's not that bad. It won't take us very long.
- 9 **Jim Kaiser:** It's not as complicated as it seems.
- 10 **Sarah Gilmer:** Mr. Horne, do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole
- truth and nothing but the truth?
- 12 **Phil Horne:** Yes.

1

- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- 14 **Deborah Lombardo:** This was all discovered because of the escrow uploads. We were making
- deposits that nobody knew what to do with, so that's where it all came to light. It turns out that
- the deposits that we've been making were being deposited to another well that used to be EQT's,
- prior to the sale to Range. If you look at the outline I gave you, the original order stated that
- there was no escrowing required, but Tracts 2 and 3 were listed as failing to enter into an
- agreement, so those tracts should have been escrowed, so we are in the process of revising the
- supplemental and we would like approval to revise that supplemental, so that we can get these
- 21 two tracts back where they need to be because the deposits have been coming from EQT, but
- 22 they haven't been put anywhere, except they've been put into Docket Number 1564, Well number
- 535433. We deposited a total of \$5,875.93, for Tract 2. The first payment that we made back
- 24 when this well was pooled, back, I believe, in 2011. We made a payment of \$5,118.11 to First
- Bank, the Escrow Agent. That amount, actually, is reflected on Docket 1564, for the July 2011
- 26 Escrow Agent Summary. We made additional payments through July 2016, when this was
- 27 discovered. Then, we stopped because we wanted to get it fixed. Total payments for the well,
- 28 where it's being deposited, were \$5,491.83. Those payment dates go from June 2006 through
- August 2014. At that time, that well was sold to Range Resources and that's why EQT didn't
- make further payments on that well. Just as a reason for me to show you that the payments were
- 31 exactly where they were going, the last payment EQT made to the Escrow Agent for Well
- 32 525433, was \$26.95. The payment we made to the Escrow Agent for Well 536200 was \$25.42.
- 33 If you add those two payments up, they come up to \$52.37. That, actually, is the deposit
- showing on the escrow statement for August 2014. The balance back in August 2014 was
- \$11,168.56. The balance in escrow, as of that time, that was the balance in escrow, at that time,
- \$11,168. I provided the Escrow Agent, along with EnerVest, some of the information, the same

- information that you're seeing, along with a bunch of spreadsheets, showing all the deposits, all
- 2 the comparisons, so they could understand what happened, as well, so they are not in the blind,
- with what's happening, here. Right now, June's balance for the Escrow Agent is \$11,757.35.
- 4 That almost matches what it should have been because of the deposits that EQT has made, on
- 5 behalf of that well.
- 6 Mary Quillen: And, you have been working with the Escrow Agent. Correct?
- 7 **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Mary Quillen: Okay. So, they're all on the same page with you all?
- 9 **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes.
- 10 **Mary Quillen:** Okay.
- 11 **Jim Kaiser:** Do we have a suggestion for how we're going to take care of this?
- 12 **Deborah Lombardo:** We would suggest that the amount be taken from the Docket of 1564 and
- the deposit made into the escrow account established for Docket 1516, so that we can then
- request a disbursement on behalf of one of those tracts. The other tract would remain in escrow.
- 15 Mary Quillen: So, the 1516 will be the active account.
- 16 **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, ma'am.
- 17 **Mary Quillen:** Okay.
- 18 **Deborah Lombardo:** The other account will remain active. The other account will stay active
- because that is still an escrowed account on EnerVest.
- 20 **Mary Quillen:** Are these people in that original one, as well?
- 21 **Deborah Lombardo:** No. They are not.
- 22 Mary Quillen: Okay. So, it's just that group of people that has been taken out of that.
- 23 **Deborah Lombardo:** There are two people on those two tracts.
- 24 Mary Quillen: It looks like Wise County School Board and Buster Brown Apparel.
- 25 <u>Deborah Lombardo:</u> Yes. Buster Brown Apparel, I have been trying to locate for quite some
- 26 time. We're not sure what happened to them. We would love to get rid of it and be done with
- 27 this. We were hoping to have found them, prior to this hearing, so that we wouldn't have to keep
- escrowing.

- 1 Mary Quillen: The School Board bought property that belonged to Buster Brown, which was
- adjacent to the Middle School, in Coeburn. I taught there, is the reason I know.
- 3 **Deborah Lombardo:** We might end up finding out that's where it should go.
- 4 Mary Quillen: I know that they bought that property, as an extension of the campus for
- 5 Coeburn Middle School.
- 6 **Deborah Lombardo:** Okay.
- 7 Mary Quillen: So, you might.... Greg Perry was the financial officer, at the time that this was
- 8 done, but Greg has retired, but he's still in the area. So, that might be a way of, maybe, bringing
- 9 some information together. He lives in Wise. That might be a source of information.
- 10 **Jim Kaiser:** He worked for Buster Brown?
- 11 Mary Quillen: No. He was the financial officer for Wise County, at the time, when this took
- place. He has retired, maybe, a couple of years, maybe not that long. He would have knowledge
- of that because they did have some kind of a dealing with this property. It is adjacent to the
- 14 Coeburn Middle School. That might be a contact.
- 15 **Deborah Lombardo:** That would be great. We might not have to continue the escrow account,
- then, if that's the case.
- 17 Mary Quillen: See whether they know anything about... Buster Brown went out of business, of
- 18 course. The property sat vacant for quite a while.
- 19 **Deborah Lombardo:** Thank you.
- 20 **Mary Quillen:** That might be a lead.
- 21 **Deborah Lombardo:** Better than where I've been. We would ask that the Board approve that
- we make a withdrawal from Docket 1564 and establish an escrow account for 1516, so that we
- can, at least, pay the one tract and, hopefully, pay the other. I also need approval to revise the
- supplemental, the original supplemental, so that I can then request a new docket disbursement for
- 25 that tract.
- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** Ms. Lombardo, let me ask a question. You made the statement that EQT
- 27 quit making payments in August 2014, into the account. What's happened since, from 2014 until
- 28 now?
- 29 **Deborah Lombardo:** EnerVest has been making those payments. They should have been.
- 30 **Bradley Lambert:** Excuse me?

- 1 <u>Deborah Lombardo:</u> Well, it would be Range Resources. That particular well was sold to
- 2 Range Resources, back in 2014, the well where the money was being deposited.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Do we have records that payments are going into that?
- 4 **Deborah Lombardo:** I believe we do.
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** Yes, we do.
- 6 **Deborah Lombardo:** I provided the spreadsheets to the Escrow Agent.
- 7 **Rick Cooper:** I think that's the reason Mr. Horne is here, to say that it's okay to transfer the
- 8 funds out of the EnerVest account.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** I understand that, but do we have documentation where either EQT or
- 10 EnerVest has made this deposit, since August 2014?
- 11 **Rick Cooper:** We do.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 13 **Rick Cooper:** So, to summarize this, we've had a couple of meetings to help everyone out.
- What we really need is a revised supplement for 1516, is all we really need to do and your all's
- permission for me to allow Ms. Maney to transfer the funds from one well to the other. Then,
- she would have to petition the Board to make a disbursement, at a later date. These are
- 17 conventional wells, just to let you know. They're not coal wells.
- 18 Mary Quillen: And, Mr. Horne is going to testify to transfer these.
- 19 **Phil Horne:** They can take money out 5433 and put in the 6200.
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** The balance did count out.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** I'm trying to decide exactly what this Board needs to approve.
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** Historically, the Board has wanted me to bring items. A lot of times we find
- 23 deficiencies throughout deposits, whether it be \$10 or \$20 or \$50, but with this large amount of
- 24 money, \$5,000, I just want the Board's permission that it's okay to do that. We just want it on
- record that we're moving over \$5,000 out of one account and placing it into the other and why
- we are doing that.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** That's all. As far as a supplemental order, you would take care of that.
- 28 **Rick Cooper:** The supplemental order, they would have to revise the supplemental to add
- 29 Buster Brown or Wise County Schools. They pooled this item back in March '06. They pooled

- this particular well. They just need to revise their supplemental, showing that Wise County and
- 2 Buster Brown are the escrowed people that are due funds.
- 3 Mary Quillen: It seems like the first part of this is just a lateral transaction that the company
- 4 has worked with your office and all of this has been approved. The Board would not be asked to
- 5 approve, on a motion, until she does the supplemental order, or the disbursement. Is that right?
- 6 **Rick Cooper:** I guess the only thing that we're.... I guess, as a general rule, when we move this
- 7 kind of money, the Board always wanted to have the approval for a large amount. All they're
- 8 asking the Board is to allow over \$5,000 to be moved from Account 1 to Account 2. The rest of
- 9 the paperwork and processes will come forward at a later date.
- 10 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 11 **Rick Cooper:** So, to give you a little more history, we discovered this, since Ms. Lombardo's
- here. We've had some difficulty with the escrow uploads, a lot of things. Ms. Maney's back here
- for this very purpose. That's how this was discovered. We couldn't approve one of the escrow
- uploads that's been sitting out there for a period of time, because this discrepancy was found. So,
- we cannot do our escrow upload until we actually get this corrected. We still have, probably,
- eight of those still hanging out there, that we've been working on, in front of the Board. We had
- 28, originally, but we're down to 8. This happens to tie up a couple that we cannot approve until
- we transfer the funds around.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** So, this is part of the ones that this Board's been dealing with for over a
- year, to get uploaded?
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** This is part of those. That is correct.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. So, if the Board approves this transaction, then how soon will the
- others be completed? Because, you know, that's been a concern of the Board for over a year,
- 24 now. We've been, kind of, holding off taking any action.
- 25 **Rick Cooper:** I guess Ms. Lombardo would answer how long it would take for us to get those
- eight, that are rejected.
- 27 **Deborah Lombardo:** They're, actually, being uploaded this week. I talked with Kristy, this
- 28 morning. She's been working on them. I talked with her, this morning, and she said they will be
- 29 uploaded this week, the rest of them. Once we get the approval, that will fix the majority of
- 30 what's left. That's, kind of, what's holding everything up now because the ones that are left,
- 31 typically, involve this one. There was a few other issues I know that she worked with Jody on. I
- think they've gotten those fixed, as well, if I'm not mistaken.

- 1 **Rick Cooper:** Ms. Ketron had been working with Jody on that, so I guess I would like to think
- 2 and I would like you to commit that we would have these before the next board hearing, approve
- 3 those eight, that are outstanding.
- 4 **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, absolutely.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. That's great news because we've been struggling with EQT, in
- 6 getting uploads for a long time, now. We need to close these out, quickly, so if we can approve
- 7 this one, we would certainly expect by the next hearing, those will be before the Board.
- 8 **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, sir.
- 9 Mary Quillen: So, you need a motion for approval of transferring the funds from the one
- account to the other account.
- 11 **Deborah Lombardo:** Yes, ma'am.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Yes. That's what we need. Do I have a motion?
- 13 **Mary Quillen:** I will make that motion.
- 14 **Donnie Rife:** Second, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 16 favor signify by saying yes.
- 17 **Board:** Yes.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Kaiser. That is approved.
- 19 **Bruce Prather:** I need to be recused from that vote.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** One abstention. Mr. Prather.
- 21 Item Number 6
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 6. This is a petition from EnerVest
- Operating, LLC, for (1) Modification of the Nora Coalbed Methane Gas Field Rules, to allow
- one additional coalbed gas well to be drilled within each of the 58.77 acre Nora units identified
- hereto. (2) For an administrative order providing that additional well permits may be issued in
- 26 the Nora Field after this application is filed and while it is pending. Docket Number VGOB-89-
- 27 0126-0009-89. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 28 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Tim Scott, Gus Janson and Aaron Anderson for EnerVest Operating, LLC.
- 29 **Bradley Lambert:** Sarah, Sally...

- 1 Sarah Gilmer: Do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole truth and
- 2 nothing but the truth?
- 3 **Gus Janson:** Yes.
- 4 **Aaron Anderson:** Yes, I do.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Scott, before you begin, I just have one question. It may be something
- 6 we want to clear up, in the relief sought. That is your second statement. It says, "For an
- 7 administrative order providing that additional well permits may be issued in the Nora Field after
- 8 this application is filed and while it is pending."
- 9 **Tim Scott:** Yes, sir.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** That gives a little bit of concern because, while it's still pending, I'm not sure
- we can give approval for the wells to be drilled if this is pending. Maybe that wording needs to
- be changed. Maybe the "pending" needs to be taken out. I'm not sure. Did any other Board
- members catch that, or pick up on that?
- 14 Mary Quillen: Yes.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** I understand. I've had a discussion with Mr. Cooper that said I've seen this
- 16 before.
- 17 **Tim Scott:** Statute of limitations have run. We're good with this.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 19 **Tim Scott:** I'll talk to Mr. Cooper and we can figure out the best way to do that, Mr. Chairman.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. You may proceed.
- 21 **Tim Scott:** Thank you.
- 22 Mary Quillen: Just to clarify that number 2 will be excluded from the motion for Item Number
- 23 6.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** I didn't recommend that it be removed. I was thinking that the wording
- 25 needs to be changed to reflect, other than something that this application is pending application.
- **Paul Kugelman:** I think, maybe, if it just says, "after it's approved." Isn't this application before
- the Board, right now?
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** Yes, to be approved. Yes.
- 29 **Paul Kugelman:** Is that okay with you?

- **Tim Scott:** That's what I had intended to do.
- **Paul Kugelman:** Yes, sir. Okay. I'm sorry for getting ahead of you.
- **Tim Scott:** You're not.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- **Tim Scott:** Mr. Anderson, please state your name, by whom you are employed and your job
- 6 description.
- 7 Aaron Anderson: Aaron Anderson. I work for EnerVest, LLC, Associate Land Man.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you're familiar with this application. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you participated in the preparation of this application, also. Correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, we have an Exhibit B attached to the application, which reflects the parties
- who were to receive notice of this hearing. Is that right?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, all those parties have received notice. Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** And, we filed our proof of mailing with the Board. Is that correct?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr.
- 21 Scott.
- **Tim Scott:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Janson, your name, by whom you are employed and
- your job description, please.
- **Gus Janson:** My name is Gus Janson. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as a
- 25 Geology Advisor.
- **Tim Scott:** And, you also participated in the preparation of this application. Is that right?
- **Gus Janson:** That is correct.

- 1 **Tim Scott:** So, we've attached exhibits to our application. Is that right?
- 2 **Gus Janson:** That's correct.
- 3 **<u>Tim Scott:</u>** And, those are now present, before the Board. Is that correct.
- 4 **Gus Janson:** Yes.
- 5 **Tim Scott:** So, would you please explain to the Board why we're seeking increased density
- 6 drilling for these particular units, which are the subject of this application?
- 7 Gus Janson: Yes. As Mr. Scott stated, you have the Exhibit K, that's been submitted as part of
- 8 this application. Just as a prelude to that, I'll give you a quick update on our drilling activity,
- 9 from EnerVest's part, for 2016, and looking forward, into 2017. This year, we've drilled 46 wells
- and completed those wells, at this point, 32 which are increased density wells. 70% of our
- program, this year, was related to increased density activity. Moving forward, out of 2017, that
- will be some of these units we're talking about, today. We're planning to drill 100 wells in this
- coming year. I would expect something similar to that. 70-80% of that will be increased density
- 14 wells.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Let me ask you a question right there, Mr. Janson, along those lines.
- Particularly for the Nora Field, you anticipate you will be back before this Board more times,
- asking for increased density wells.
- 18 **Gus Janson:** That is correct.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** And, we're going to hear the same testimony every time. Thinking a year or
- 20 two out, that you're going to be coming back before this Board, is there any way you might
- 21 consider consolidating these a little more, rather than asking for four or five, at a time?
- 22 **Gus Janson:** Yes, sir. I think what EnerVest would like to propose, at some point in time, with
- 23 the Board's input, is that we, maybe, go ahead and try to modify the field rules for the Nora Field
- 24 and set that, going forward, that would allow for the second well. We may need to have some
- 25 provisions on that, so that the Director has some ability to bring any that he may have concerns
- 26 with, back to the Board, as part of the permitting process for final approval if he needed to do
- something, there. I think that's something that I'd like to work for, that EnerVest would like to
- work towards, is getting those field rules modified, to eliminate these multiple hearings.
- 29 **Bradley Lambert:** I would suggest, just so that we can make this a little easier for all of us, if
- 30 you could work with Mr. Cooper and, working with him, come up with some language to do
- 31 that, would make it...
- 32 **Gus Janson:** I think we can do that.
- 33 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.

- 1 **Gus Janson:** That would be great.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you. Sorry for the interruption.
- 3 **Rick Cooper:** Mr. Chairman, it's good you pointed that out. As you can see, this is the 89th
- 4 modification of the Nora Field, already.
- 5 **Donnie Rife:** We were worried about the 150.
- 6 **Rick Cooper:** So, you're saying that, so we have, internally, worked with our IT department, so
- 7 none of our accounts could handle 3-digit numbers. So, just because of the Nora Field
- 8 modification, we have went in and re-wrote the program in our database so that they can accept
- 9 3-digit numbers because of the Nora Field. So, if it goes to 100, we are prepared to handle it,
- 10 now.
- 11 **Donnie Rife:** So, you are kind of wishing we would have done this probably years ago.
- 12 **Rick Cooper:** It's a bit complicated.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Again, we'll move back to Exhibit K.
- 14 **Gus Janson:** Again, this will be very similar to the presentation you've seen in the past, from
- 15 EnerVest and prior companies. The first page is just a view of the Nora Field, giving you the
- location of the units that have been approved, so far to date. You can see there's, obviously,
- several units have been approved for increased density drilling. The ones highlighted in green
- are ones that we're considering, today, as part of this application. Moving on to Page 2, it's again
- 19 the same view. I've added to this the red dots, indicating where increased density drilling has
- 20 taken place, to-date. You can see this program is continuing to evolve, throughout the field. It
- also, on the edge of the map, to the east, you also see part of the Oakwood Field. That's the
- boundary between EnerVest and CNX's activity, so you can, again, see the increased density
- drilling in those areas, also. Page 3, again, is a close-up view of the increased density units.
- Again, what's been added to this one, the wells are the spots, in black, indicating all the drilled
- wells that have occurred, to-date, in the field. Along with the red, indicating the increased
- density wells, we have also added in there, the green and the purple colors, which are
- 27 representative of our permitted activity at our near-term drilling, over the next year, in 2017. So,
- some of those will have permits that have been issued, at this point, and some of the ones that are
- 29 pending, today, that will be part of these applications, today, and some of the ones we're looking
- at, in the future.
- 31 **Bill Harris:** Mr. Chairman.
- 32 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Harris.
- 33 **Bill Harris:** The ones in between, I notice that a lot of them have not been drilled. Do you all
- not have ownership of those or is this...?

- 1 <u>Gus Janson:</u> The main reason for those areas that have not been drilled, to-date, and have been
- 2 approved prior, is related to coal mining activity.
- 3 **Bill Harris:** Okay.
- 4 **Gus Janson:** So, a lot of that was approved prior to, primarily, Deep Mine 41, which is the
- 5 major activity occurring out there, today. So, we have not pursued those, going forward. Those
- 6 were, historically, approved at one time, but the wells didn't get drilled, at that time. So, we've
- 7 sort of put those on hold, working with the coal company to establish where the best places to
- 8 drill those units are, going forward. That will be the main part of that. There's also some surface
- 9 mining activity that's picked up, in the last two or three years, since some of those units.
- 10 **Bill Harris:** Thank you.
- 11 **Gus Janson:** Moving on to Page 5, again, you'll see the production plot, the cumulative
- production of all the wells, the in-field drilling, the blue line being the original well drilled and
- the increased density unit in the purple line being the in-field, or the increased density well.
- What I'm trying to represent with this is that we are achieving additional reserves whenever we
- drill these extra wells. It's not like... we are getting some acceleration in the reserves, we hope.
- We also are getting new reserves. That's one of the big benefits of this. Also, we're increasing
- the fracture network that will produce that gas. We're decreasing the de-water time that we need
- to actually get the gas off the wells. We're increasing the recovery factor at the same time.
- 19 Again, this is all achieving this faster and, hopefully, more economically. We're not really
- 20 seeing any negative impacts on the first wells that have been drilled out there. In fact, in most
- 21 cases, we see a positive impact on those. I think that's illustrated by the slight decline that you
- see in the field. It's really abnormal to see that many wells, even in areas where there aren't in-
- 23 fields. Again, at the end, in the last time-frame, December 15, that will be the new activity that's
- taken place, in the last year, end of '15. This can be updated for '16, at some point, as we get
- 25 more production data. On Page 5, I added another little example of what's going on with
- 26 increased density, to give you some more insight to that, comparing two wells that were actually
- 27 drilled 27 years apart, in time. One of them's the more recent well, which would be the 531599
- and, then, the early well would be the 703558. You can see the original production, in blue, the
- 29 first well, drilled 27 years ago. There's other wells in the area, too. So, there has been some
- impact to the reservoir from the other drilling, but as we drill the new well, in 2015, you can see
- 31 that it went on production really quickly, had a higher rate than the original well. Of the two
- 32 plots, one is a times zero plot, which is going back to the time of the well, when it first went into
- production. So, you can see the difference in production. I've also shown you a current view of
- that production, when the new increased density well came in. You can see that it's having no
- 35 significant impact to the first well, that it's continued its production profile, going ahead. Again,
- we increased the availability of gas that we're getting from the reservoir. So, again, there has
- been some other things going on. We have had some technology advances, over time, so maybe
- we are completing the wells a little bit different and, maybe, more effectively, today. That's

- 1 going to be part of that impact, but I think the majority of it is that, originally, when the field was
- 2 laid out on the 60-acre grid, that it was recognized at that point early in time that we didn't have
- 3 enough data to know how much reservoir was really going to contribute to each of those units.
- 4 After 27 years of activity in the field, we see that we could have, probably, done a 30-acre grid
- 5 and been just as well off.
- 6 **Bill Harris:** Let me ask just a quick question, also. On Page 5, in the upper left, you show the
- 7 76AR and those are the two wells that are contributing to your graph. I notice that there was
- 8 another well that was a 50-something, to the lower right, there.
- 9 **Gus Janson:** Correct.
- 10 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** Have you all looked at that to see what impact?
- Gus Janson: Yes, I've looked at many of these wells, throughout the field. That's what I'm
- saying. Some of these other wells, as you continue to develop the CBM wells, you'll get more
- communication between the wells and you'll more effectively de-water that reservoir.
- 14 <u>Bill Harris:</u> Okay. So, you were referring to something. I noticed, we do it per unit, but I just
- wondered, since that was so close.
- 16 **Gus Janson:** Right. We look at it on a global basis, too. There's a lot of areas. Areas are a
- 17 little bit different in some parts of the field. Some will react differently, but for the most part, we
- see the same results.
- 19 **Bruce Prather:** The percentage of this increase is due to increased proficiency in your
- 20 treatments?
- 21 **Gus Janson:** That's a great question. I wish I could answer that one, today. Over the past 27
- years, we've completed these wells very differently than the first wells that were done in the
- 23 1980's to where we are, today. I haven't been able to quantify that. It's going to be different in
- some areas. In this case, it's a really dramatic impact between two wells.
- 25 **Bruce Prather:** You repeatedly see this dramatic impact you're talking about and I'm not really
- sure we're comparing the same things.
- 27 **Gus Janson:** That's a fair question, that in some cases, you don't always get this dramatic of an
- increase. You may get a very similar well, the first well, in some cases. Again, you're not
- 29 impacting that first well, so you still are recovering your reserves.
- 30 **Bruce Prather:** Exactly.
- 31 **Gus Janson:** So, it's going to be somewhat statistical throughout the field.
- 32 **Bruce Prather:** Yes.

- 1 Mary Quillen: Are there other wells that have this amount of time difference between the first
- 2 and second well? Because that's a very old field.
- 3 **Gus Janson:** Right. The early wells were drilled in the '80's. I'd have to go back, but my
- 4 recollection is that first year that the program went into effect.... I think it even went into effect
- 5 before we even established the units, at the time. There were only a handful of wells drilled that
- 6 year, maybe 5 or 10, the first year that it went. It's increased up to 50 or 60 a year. Now, I think
- 7 we've peaked somewhere around 2009 or 2010, at a couple hundred wells being drilled, in the
- 8 field. At this point in time, after 27 years, there have been approximately a little over 2,000
- 9 wells, drilled in the field.
- 10 Mary Quillen: And, you're seeing some of this similar, but you are seeing the increased
- production is economically...?
- 12 **Gus Janson:** Right. I would term this as a positive impact. The wells are economic. We'll get
- into that in the next slide. The was a great segue into the next.
- 14 Mary Quillen: Sorry. I didn't mean to get ahead of you.
- Gus Janson: So, on Page 6, we talk about some of the benefits of the increased density. Again,
- we're benefitting the working interest owners, the royalty owners, by maximizing production.
- We're also promoting the conservation of the gas resource and preventing the waste, by more
- 18 effectively producing the resource. Again, it allows for that shared facility, such as roads,
- 19 pipelines, power lines, and minimizing that environmental impact. Again, in these depressed
- 20 market conditions, these gas price conditions, that's very important for us to be able to
- 21 economically develop these wells. That's why the focus has really been on these increased
- density wells, where we can minimize some of those costs, to be able to produce the wells,
- economically. We have no correlative rights issues with those units, today. Finally, the last
- 24 three pages are the information that the Board had requested, in relation to the topographic
- conditions, as well as any mining activity that was going on around the wells. In these cases, in
- these units today, there are no active mines. There's a few, minor, shallow abandoned mines,
- 27 that will be drilled through, but there's no impact to any current mining activity, at this point in
- 28 time. I'd be glad to answer any other questions you have.
- 29 **Bradley Lambert:** Any further questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 30 Scott?
- 31 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 32 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 33 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 34 **Bill Harris:** Second.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 2 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 3 **Board:** Yes.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no.
- 5 **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll abstain, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** One abstention. Mr. Ratliff.

7 Item Number 7

- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 7. A petition from EnerVest Operating,
- 9 LLC, to disburse funds from the escrow account for well VC-536594, to all known owners in
- Tracts 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 11, 14, 15 and 19. Docket Number VGOB-08-0318-2181-01. Do we have
- another Docket Number, there, Rick, or...? It's showing two Docket Numbers at the bottom of
- 12 that.
- 13 **Sarah Gilmer:** I'm sorry I didn't catch that. The system puts one in there and they typed it in
- there. I apologize. I didn't catch that.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** That's okay.
- 16 **Rick Cooper:** So, it's the same one.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** Same one. I thought there might have been something different going on.
- All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 19 **Tim Scott:** Phil Horne and Tim Scott for EnerVest Operating, LLC.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 21 **Tim Scott:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Horne, please state your name, by whom you are
- employed and your job description.
- 23 **Phil Horne:** My name is Phil Horne. I'm a contractor for EnerVest Operating, LLC. I've been
- 24 working on special projects. One of them is trying to wrap up this escrow account.
- 25 **Tim Scott:** With this particular unit, you've got several tracts from which the escrow is to be
- 26 disbursed. Is that correct?
- 27 **Phil Horne:** That's correct.
- 28 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Will this result in the closing of this account?

- **Phil Horne:** No, it will not.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Is this a partial, then?
- **Phil Horne:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** What's the effective date of the reconciliation?
- **Phil Horne:** July 2015.
- **Tim Scott:** Based on your records and those of the Escrow Agent, do they reconcile?
- **Phil Horne:** Yes.
- **<u>Tim Scott:</u>** So, the account will remain open. Is that right?
- **Phil Horne:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And, all parties who were supposed to receive notice of this hearing have been
- 11 notified. Is that correct?
- **Phil Horne:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Would you please explain to the Board, with your exhibits, what we're going to be
- 14 disbursing, today?
- **Phil Horne:** We, initially, submitted this one to be approved without coming before the Board
- and we had determined that EQT, initially, and then, of course, Range, for a few months after
- they bought it, had been overpaid into the escrow account. Standard Banner owned the coal, oil
- and gas under Tracts 12, 13 and 17, in addition to the 9 oil and gas tracts that will be disbursed.
- So, we revised exhibits and added Standard Banner's three coal, oil and gas tracts to go ahead
- and disburse them along with the other nine tracts.
- 21 <u>Tim Scott:</u> So, once that's done, then those will be direct pay. Is that correct?
- **Phil Horne:** Everybody will be set up on direct pay, going forward. That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have on this one, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 25 Mary Quillen: Is this a House Bill? I notice they're all 100%. Is this a House Bill?
- **Phil Horne:** This is a House Bill. Yes, ma'am.
- **Mary Quillen:** Okay.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Horne, you testified that the account had been reconciled. It's been
- 2 reconciled, but the reconciliation shows a difference of what we have, of \$190.77.
- 3 **Phil Horne:** Wells never is reconciled exactly to the exact penny. \$190.77 difference.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you. Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- 5 **Tim Scott:** That's it, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 7 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 8 Bill Harris: Second.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 10 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 11 **Board:** Yes.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Scott. That's approved.

13 <u>Item Number 8</u>

- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 8. A petition from EnerVest Operating,
- LLC, to disburse funds from the escrow account for well VC-536589, to all known owners in
- 16 Tracts 2, 4, 7, 8. Docket Number VGOB-07-0515-1935-05. All parties wishing to testify please
- 17 come forward.
- 18 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Phil Horne and Tim Scott for EnerVest Operating, LLC.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Scott.
- 20 **Tim Scott:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Horne, again, your name, by whom you are
- employed and what's your job description?
- 22 **Phil Horne:** I'm Phil Horne. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating Company, as a Contract
- 23 Land Man. I work on special projects, including this escrow account.
- **Tim Scott:** With regard to this first one. Is it a full or partial disbursement?
- 25 **Phil Horne:** It's a partial. There's one unknown.
- 26 **Tim Scott:** Okay. So, what's the reconciliation date? What's the effective date of that
- 27 reconciliation?
- 28 **Phil Horne:** August 2015.

- 1 <u>Tim Scott:</u> With regard to your figures and those of the Escrow Agent, do they match?
- 2 **Phil Horne:** \$76.25 difference.
- 3 **Tim Scott:** Okay. To the good?
- 4 **Phil Horne:** To the good.
- 5 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay. The escrow account will remain open. Is that correct?
- 6 **Phil Horne:** That's correct.
- 7 **Tim Scott:** With regard to these particular tracts, they'll be direct pay from this point on. Is that
- 8 right?
- 9 **Phil Horne:** That's correct.
- 10 **Tim Scott:** The remaining tracts still remain in escrow.
- 11 **Phil Horne:** Just the one party.
- 12 **Tim Scott:** With the unknown. Is that correct?
- 13 **Phil Horne:** That's correct.
- 14 **Tim Scott:** Okay. All parties who were supposed to receive notice of this hearing have received
- 15 notice. Is that right?
- 16 **Phil Horne:** That's correct.
- 17 **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Horne.
- 18 **Phil Horne:** This is pretty much like the last one we did, except that it's a little bit more
- extreme. Once we did our last petition, the one prior to this, in '04, we determined that EQT, of
- 20 course, Range for a few months, had also overpaid into the escrow account. They paid for tracts
- 21 that were coal, oil and gas, one of EnerVest, Tract 4. Then, Tracts 2, 7 and 8 had splits done
- with them. If you look at Exhibit EE, there's a little explanation underneath each tract. So,
- basically, EnerVest did a disbursement here, the last disbursement, '04, there was way too much
- 24 money in the escrow account, so the parties that got disbursed were overpaid by, approximately,
- four times what they should have received. So, what we've done, now, we're asking that we....
- There's about \$1,760 in the escrow account and what we would like to do is go ahead and
- 27 disburse to Charlton Tiller. He had done a 75/25 split with Range Resources and he never was
- 28 listed on Exhibit E. As you can see, Tracts 7 and 8 of his interest was never disbursed to him
- and he never was paid. What we're asking is that we'll leave the money in the escrow account
- 30 for Lowell Barton, who is an unknown. We ask that you disburse the rest of it to Charlton Tiller

- and, then, the balance to EnerVest. Then, EnerVest will try to recoup the money the paid to
- 2 these oil and gas owners, through future payments.
- 3 <u>Tim Scott:</u> So, that would be withholding the royalties?
- 4 **Phil Horne:** Yes.
- 5 **Tim Scott:** Until it washes out.
- 6 **Phil Horne:** Yes, until EnerVest recovers its money.
- 7 **Paul Kugelman:** So, you're treating the overpayment as advanced payment?
- 8 **Phil Horne:** Yes.
- 9 **Tim Scott:** Yes.
- 10 **Phil Horne:** Instead of asking for money back, we're going to write them a letter and explain
- what had happened and, then, just tell them that, once we recover our money, they'll continue
- 12 getting the pay, again.
- 13 **Tim Scott:** But, it's still in the pay status, but for that amount?
- 14 **Phil Horne:** That's correct. Yes.
- 15 **Mary Quillen:** But, that amount, what did you say?
- 16 **Phil Horne:** Approximately \$17,000.
- 17 Mary Quillen: Has already been paid out.
- 18 **Phil Horne:** Yes, ma'am. It's paid out to the oil and gas. Everyone in this well, except for
- 19 Charlton Tiller had been overpaid, and EnerVest. We'll cover that money, internally.
- 20 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 21 **Bruce Prather:** This was done under Range Resources, originally.
- 22 **Phil Horne:** Equitable is the one that drilled the well and inadvertently paid the wrong amount.
- Of course, when these companies change hands, they usually just transfer the payment decks.
- So, Range paid for probably eight months until August 2014. Then, we just caught this when we
- 25 did our last disbursement. We knew we had this one that we're doing, today. Then, we realized
- 26 that there wasn't near enough money left. So, the people had been paid, in May. Everybody,
- 27 from all the disbursements, from day one, had been incorrect.
- 28 Mary Quillen: So, this was when the well was, originally, drilled.

- **Phil Horne:** In 2007, it started.
- 2 Mary Quillen: In 2007.
- **Tim Scott:** So, this will correct it?
- **Phil Horne:** Yes, it should. This will correct it. We've met with the Division of Gas and Oil.
- 5 Gary and Rick and Sarah reviewed this. They are familiar with it.
- 6 Mary Quillen: And, nobody came forward?
- **Phil Horne:** No. They probably think we underpaid them.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Just for the record, Table 1, Mr. Tiller will be paid out the exact dollar
- 9 amount that's listed in the table? Table 2 will be paid on a percentage basis?
- **Phil Horne:** Table 2, I'm not seeing. Oh, yes. That's correct.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I just wanted to get that on the record.
- **Phil Horne:** Yes, sir.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- Donnie Rife: Mr. Chairman. So, you're going to notify these people?
- **Phil Horne:** We're going to write them a letter, as soon as this is approved and we've got our
- direction. We've already got our letter prepared. We're going to write them a letter, to just let
- them know. Then, of course, they can call with any questions.
- **Donnie Rife:** Okay.
- 19 Mary Quillen: Right. So, Table 1 is the dollar amount and Table 2 is percentage.
- **Phil Horne:** Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Mary Quillen: Okay.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 26 Bill Harris: Second.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All in favor signify
- 2 by saying yes.
- 3 **Board:** Yes.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response]
- 5 **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll abstain, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** One abstention, Mr. Ratliff. Thank you, Mr. Scott. That's approved. Okay
- 7 folks we're going to take about a ten minute break.

8 <u>Item Number 9</u>

- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** Ladies and gentlemen, it's time to resume our proceedings, this morning.
- We're calling Docket Item Number 9. A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for pooling
- under Oakwood Coalbed Methane Gas Field 1. Unit Y47. Docket Number VGOB-16-0920-
- 12 4098. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 14 **Sarah Gilmer:** Ms. Duty, do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole
- truth and nothing but the truth?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 **Donald Joyce:** Donald A. Joyce.
- 18 Sarah Gilmer: Do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole truth and
- 19 nothing but the truth?
- 20 **Donald Joyce:** Yes.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Swartz, you may proceed.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay. Anita, would you state your name for us, please?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 24 **Mark Swartz:** Who do you work for?
- 25 Anita Duty: CNX Land, LLC
- 26 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of the applicant, CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And this is a petition for pooling?
- 2 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: In the Oakwood Field?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And, the Unit is Y47?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: What did you do to notify people that we were going to have a hearing, today?
- 8 Anita Duty: We mailed, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on August 19, 2016, and
- 9 published in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on August 24, 2016.
- 10 Mark Swartz: You filed that information online?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: The applicant here, if the application is granted, would also be the designated
- 13 operator. Correct?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Is CNX Gas Company, LLC, a limited liability company formed in the
- 16 Commonwealth of Virginia?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Is it registered with the DMME and the DGO?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Does it have a blanket bond on file?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: The plat, that is the next to last part of the application, shows two wells.
- 23 Correct?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> One of the wells, Y47A, is in the northern portion of the drilling window?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, Y47 is a few feet within the southwest corner of the unit.

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Because it's an Oakwood Unit, this is a situation where you can actually apply to
- 3 the Director for a location exception. Correct?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 5 **Mark Swartz:** Are either one of these wells drilled?
- 6 Anita Duty: No.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And, you've applied for a permit for at least one of them. Correct?
- 8 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Have you provided well cost information with your application?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: With regard to those two wells, what are your estimates?
- Anita Duty: For Y47, the estimated cost is \$332,283. Then, estimated depth of 2,300 feet.
- 13 Y47A, estimated cost, \$334,283. Estimated depth is 2,300 feet.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And, you have been able to either purchase or lease a significant piece of this
- unit. Correct?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: But, there are still some outstanding interests that you need to pool?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: I think those are identified in Exhibit B, if I'm not mistaken. If you go to the last
- page of Exhibit B3, how many acres in this unit are unleased?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** 32.16.
- 22 Mark Swartz: What percentage of the unit is that?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** 40.2%
- 24 Mark Swartz: The balance is either leased or acquired.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 **Mark Swartz:** Do you want to add any people as respondents, today?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** No.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Do we need to dismiss any folks?
- 2 Anita Duty: No.
- 3 Mark Swartz: I think we have an Exhibit B2, though, don't we? Let's look and see.
- 4 **Anita Duty:** From the previous filing, to last month's hearing. Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: The B2 Exhibit, when we filed, previously, was to account for a conveyance.
- 6 Correct? And a lease.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Lease.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Those people are identified in Exhibit B2, as Barbara C. Baxter and Billie Ann
- 9 Trent?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Have you provided the Board with an Exhibit E?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: There is some limited requirement of escrow, here. Correct?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** There is.
- 15 Mark Swartz: What's the reason, if you know?
- 16 Anita Duty: Title conflict. Oil and gas title conflict.
- 17 Mark Swartz: You've also provided an Exhibit EE, which would provide for how people are to
- be paid, going forward?
- 19 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Is it your opinion that drilling two frack wells in this unit is a reasonable way to
- 21 develop the coalbed methane resource?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Is it your opinion that, if you combine a pooling order, pooling the outstanding
- interest with the interest that you've already acquired by either acquisition or a lease, the
- correlative rights of all owners of coalbed methane in this unit would be protected?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, you've got an Exhibit E that you filed, as well, with some split agreements,
- 28 I believe?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Or, EE. I'm sorry. What lease terms have you offered, in general, with regard to
- 3 the people that you've been able to contract with?
- 4 Anita Duty: \$5 per acre, per year, with a 5-year paid up term and 1/8 royalty
- 5 Mark Swartz: Would you recommend those terms to the Board?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: I believe that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** Ms. Duty, you said you have an application for one well. Which well have
- 9 you applied for a permit for?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** 547.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** Did you testify to the amount of reserves potential, on those two wells?
- 12 Anita Duty: No.
- 13 Mark Swartz: It's in the application.
- 14 **Anita Duty:** 125 to 550.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you. Any other questions from the Board? [No response]
- 16 Mr. Joyce?
- 17 **Donald Joyce:** I was just more or less to see if the Board voted for a force pool. That's the only
- reason I'm here. I was supposed to have an informal fact finding hearing. If I'm going to be
- 19 force pooled, there's no reason for the hearing. That's the reason I'm here, today.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Is that your hearing, this afternoon?
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** Yes, sir.
- **Donald Joyce:** If you all vote to force pool it, there's no need for the hearing.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** Would the vote of the Board have a definite outcome on the results of the
- 24 hearing?
- 25 **Rick Cooper:** It would.
- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** Could you tell the Board the grounds for requesting a hearing?
- 27 **Rick Cooper:** I can. The objecting party has submitted that it directly impinges upon the
- royalty owner's gas and oil interest, and also put an infringement on the use of the property.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 2 <u>Bill Harris:</u> I'm a little confused. It said that if we do pool, what Mr. Joyce is saying is that
- 3 there is no need for the...?
- 4 Mark Swartz: That's his choice. He could have the hearing and proceed with his objection.
- 5 He's just telling you that's what he's going to do.
- 6 **Donald Joyce:** In other words, my complaint was, originally, I didn't feel I was being paid
- 7 enough for what was underground. That was my complaint. If you all vote to force pool, I will
- 8 have no choice in the matter.
- 9 <u>Bill Harris:</u> Now, were you the one that requested the informal fact finding hearing?
- 10 **Donald Joyce:** Yes.
- 11 <u>Bill Harris:</u> Okay. That wasn't really clear. I'm sorry. You were saying that you would be
- satisfied if you are included in the pooling.
- 13 **Donald Joyce:** We've been negotiating. Last week, Darrin offered basically the same, original,
- offer. I declined it. Basically, that's where we stand, right now. I declined it, yesterday, on their
- voicemail. They got it, this permit future, 47A, which would be on my property, will never
- happen. If I enter into a force pool, there will be no wells drilled on our property, period.
- 17 **Donnie Rife:** That's what you want?
- 18 Mary Quillen: I'm not sure what you're saying. What are you talking about?
- 19 **Donald Joyce:** They got a future well, 47A. They, originally, had three wells to be drilled on
- our property, or two wells. That's future plans. And, if I am placed in a force pool, there will be
- 21 no agreements, as far as drilling on our property.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** Is Y47 on your property?
- 23 **Donald Joyce:** No, 47A is.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 25 **Donald Joyce:** That would be on our property and it will not be drilled. There's also a X47,
- 26 that's supposed to be drilled. It will not be drilled.
- 27 **Mary Quillen:** Is your property with 47 or 47A?
- 28 **Donald Joyce:** 47A, ma'am.
- 29 **Anita Duty:** Ms. Quillen, on the plat that we have, he is 2B and 2G.

- 1 Mary Quillen: 2B and 2G.
- 2 Anita Duty: 2B is kind of in the middle, there, where 47A is proposed.
- 3 Mary Quillen: It's in the window.
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 **Mary Quillen:** That's 47A.
- 6 Anita Duty: And that well is on his property, but if he doesn't agree to it, then it will not be. It
- 7 will not be drilled. That's just the proposed location. The well that we currently have filed a
- 8 permit for is Y47, which is in the lower left-hand corner.
- 9 Mary Quillen: And, that is not on his property.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** No, that's almost as far away from it as it can be.
- 11 Mary Quillen: Okay. I'm not sure.
- **Anita Duty:** I think one of the issues he had, he wanted to sell his gas, rather than lease it. I
- think that was one of the issues he had. He also thought that, if he was force-pooled, that he
- would have to share in the cost of the well. I think we've answered that question for him. He
- 15 realizes that.
- 16 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** Unless you choose to. Now, some people choose to.
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes, it's a choice. You're not forced to do that, but you can do that, if you would
- 18 like to. I think, maybe, that helped you a little bit, I think.
- 19 **Bruce Prather:** Really his one big complaint is the fact that, if you do drill on him, you're not
- 20 paying, what he considers, suitable damages.
- 21 Mark Swartz: If we drill that second well, we're going to move it. If we can't reach an
- agreement, we're going to move it. We try to do that.
- 23 Mary Quillen: Was it the gas?
- 24 Mark Swartz: We made an offer to purchase his royalty interest, which was not enough money.
- We didn't reach an agreement, so we've offered to lease. We've offered to purchase his royalty
- interest and, as of yesterday, they were still negotiating. Darrin's here, today, but we haven't
- 27 reached an agreement.
- 28 Mary Quillen: So, if you don't reach an agreement, it will just be moved to another location,
- 29 which is off of his property? His gas will stay in...?

- 1 Mark Swartz: Well, we would still have to file a permit. We can't just do that. We would have
- 2 to file a well-work permit application for the second well. Obviously, we're not going to be able
- 3 to locate it where it is on the plat, here, because he's going to object. He's telling us that.
- 4 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 5 Mark Swartz: So, we would file the second permit, for a location off of his surface, but he
- 6 would, then, because he's a royalty owner, have an opportunity to object to that, as a royalty
- 7 owner. But, that hasn't happened, yet.
- 8 **Bill Harris:** I misunderstood something. So, you're saying that if you are pooled, then we do
- 9 need to go forward with the fact finding?
- 10 **Paul Kugelman:** There's no fact finding going on, today here.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** No.
- 12 **Donald Joyce:** My complaint was I didn't feel that I was being compensated.
- 13 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** Appropriately for gas.
- 14 **Donald Joyce:** Right. That's for the fact finding, for the gas.
- 15 Mary Quillen: For the gas. Okay. We just wanted to clarify what it was. It was confusing.
- What you were not being paid for, or paid enough for, is the gas.
- 17 **Donald Joyce:** Right.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** To sell his interest.
- 19 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 20 Anita Duty: To sell his interest, not lease. That was the difference. The thing that was part of
- 21 the misunderstanding, last month, is his offer that he had told us, we didn't realize that was for
- 22 purchase, not to lease.
- 23 **Paul Kugelman:** Okay.
- 24 Mary Quillen: Oh, I see.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** So, currently, the only well that we have filed a permit application for is Y47,
- which is not on his property.
- 27 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 28 **Anita Duty:** The other one is just a proposed location that's been on the map.

- 1 Mary Quillen: So, what we're voting on, here, but they're both included in this particular
- 2 agenda item, 47A and 47?
- 3 Mark Swartz: Yes, if we're going to drill two wells, we need to get an order from you, that
- 4 authorizes us to produce two wells, from this unit. Then, we need to get with him to get a
- 5 permit, Mr. Cooper. So, we try to make one trip, sort of coming back to where the Chairman
- 6 was, this morning. We could come for every well or we could make one trip. So, when we pool,
- 7 we always ask for two wells because, if we drill the second one, we don't have to come back.
- 8 We have to permit it, through the DGO. So, that's why.
- 9 Mary Quillen: Do you have the permit for 47?
- 10 Mark Swartz: No. There's a hearing set for 3:00, this afternoon, to allow him to present his
- objections to the well or to permit. He's telling us, I think, that he may not come.
- Donald Joyce: Well, if it's placed in a forced pool, there's no need because I'm...the royalty's
- 13 set.
- 14 **Paul Kugelman:** Is it fair to say that the issue in the petition is whether the Board is going to
- issue an order for force pooling the unit?
- 16 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 17 **Paul Kugelman:** And, that's it.
- 18 Mark Swartz: I think we got, kind of, distracted.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman:** That's why I asked the question, sir.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Yes.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Let's keep in mind that this is for a petition for pooling under this unit. So,
- 22 that's what's before us, this morning, whether or not to approve the petition. Do you have
- anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 24 Mark Swartz: No, I do not.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Having heard a lot of discussion, to get us back on track, do we have
- a motion to approve the pooling of this unit?
- 27 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chairman.
- 28 **Donnie Rife:** Second.
- 29 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 30 response] All in favor, signify by saying ves.

- 1 Board: Yes.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

3 <u>Item Number 10</u>

- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 10. A petition from CNX Gas
- 5 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- 6 Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to a portion of Tracts 3 & 10, as depicted upon the annexed
- table; (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; (3)
- 8 dismissal of oil and gas owners listed in the petition; and (4) dismissal of coal owners listed in
- 9 the petition. [Docket Number VGOB 91-0716-0136-03]. All parties wishing to testify please
- 10 come forward.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Swartz, before you begin, let me ask a question. I'm almost sure that I
- haven't seen this before. That's item number 3, dismissal of oil and gas owners. Adding to that,
- dismissal of coal owners. So, I'm not sure who owns what because we're dismissing everybody.
- Donnie Rife: That covered them all, didn't it?
- 16 Anita Duty: We're dismissing oil and gas owners because they do not own the property,
- anymore. We're dismissing the coal owner because of the law.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** Did the oil and gas owners sell the property?
- 19 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. You may begin, Mr. Swartz.
- 21 Mark Swartz: There's a B2 Exhibit, dismissals of the people who no longer own. We've got a
- sale and, then, we've got some people that don't own, so that's why.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** That's under B2?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** B2 is the dismissals, yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** Right.
- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Not an owner is the answer, there. So, you're absolutely right. I mean, it would
- be very unusual, but for the fact that they are no longer owners. Correct.
- 29 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Maybe we should have said that they're no longer owners.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Anita, this is a.... State your name for us, please.
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 3 Mark Swartz: You're here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this petition.
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And, we're requesting a disbursement from escrow?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And, we're requesting the right and opportunity to pay the people who receive
- 8 escrow disbursements, if this is granted, and ability to pay them, directly, in the future?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Then, we have some coal owner dismissal issues that we want to talk about.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: The reasons for this request are, first of all, we have a transaction. So, we're
- dismissing some people because we've got a purchase and sale. Right?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And, then, we have some split agreements?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Then, we have the implementation of the effect of the House Bill, with regard to
- 18 gas claimants, so that's a third reason.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Then, with regard to dismissal of coal owners, we have provided notices to
- 21 conflicting coal claimants, that they had 45 days to come up with either an agreement or proof of
- an action. So, we're going to deal with that, as well, today.
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: This is a plat, showing the unit. If you come down to Table 1, we're talking
- about disbursements from Tracts 3 and 10. Correct?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, this is going to be a partial disbursement. We're still going to need
- escrow?

- 1 Anita Duty: It is.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Have you, on Table 1, given a name and address of all of the people that you're
- 3 proposing receive a disbursement?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: With regard to each person, in the second column from the right, have you given
- 6 a percentage?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: That percentage for each person, or company, is what the Escrow Agent should
- 9 use at the time the Escrow Agent is going to be making the disbursement. The Escrow Agent
- should use that percentage, times the balance on hand, at that point, to calculate the dollar
- 11 amount.
- 12 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Then, you provided a revised, or updated, Exhibit E, which would reflect the
- situation if the disbursements are made?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And, EE, which reflects payment going forward?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** It does.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, you provided your affidavit of mailing and a proof of mailing. Correct?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: With regard to the proof of mailing, you've got three people who didn't claim
- 21 their mail and one person who refused his mail. Correct?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And, we're proposing to go ahead with the disbursement and eliminate those
- 24 four people?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Then, you've got an Exhibit A, which is a reconciliation. Correct?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: I'm sorry. Exhibit J. Did you undertake to locate all of the royalty checks that
- 2 the operator had issued?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Then, did you undertake to find a corresponding deposit for each one of those
- 5 checks?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Were you able to do that?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Having done that, did you then do a calculation to determine what your math
- showed, in terms of what you would expect to see in the escrow account, as of July 31?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Then, did you compare that to the First Bank and Trust balance, on July 31?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 **Mark Swartz:** Was there a difference?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** We were showing \$101.13 more than the bank.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Did you mail the 45-day notice to coal owners?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, this is one of those situations where the coal owner actually got back to
- 19 you with a split agreement. Right?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: So, with regard to some of Hugh McRae's interest, as a coal owner, they have an
- agreement?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** They do.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Then, with some of their other interest, as a coal owner, they don't?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Here, we've got a situation where Hugh McRae is going to receive some funds,
- 27 going forward, by reason of the split agreement, but then they're going to be dismissed, as a coal
- owner, without an agreement.

- 1 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And, you provided your proof of notice to several coal owners, which were
- 3 given on different dates. Correct?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And, to the extent that these coal owners have not already been dismissed, by
- 6 prior order of the Board, you're seeking a dismissal, today. Correct?
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Then, we talked about Exhibit B2, earlier, in terms of the dismissals, because
- 9 they no longer own it.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 11 Mark Swartz: That's all I have.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 13 **Rick Cooper:** I have one question, Mr. Chairman.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Cooper.
- 15 **Rick Cooper:** Have you revised the documents, to remove the four people that you were talking
- about? Are you removing part of them?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** No. They were unclaimed, or refused.
- 18 **Sarah Gilmer:** Who are the four?
- 19 Mark Swartz: Jody Baldwin, Rodney Boyd, James Vance and Sandra Street
- 20 Mary Quillen: James Vance is on this one that's being paid.
- 21 Anita Duty: He just didn't pick up his mail. It got there. He just didn't go get it. We mailed to
- 22 the W-9 address that we had. They didn't say that the mail was returned because it was a bad
- address, just that he didn't pick it up.
- 24 **Mary Quillen:** Did you get the W-9?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes. We don't file them, unless we have W-9's.
- 26 Mary Quillen: Okay. Because he's on this one, Tract 3.
- 27 **Donnie Rife:** So, why would you consider taking him off of this one. Why would you consider
- removing him?

- 1 Mark Swartz: We've had this discussion, in the past. There are questions of efficiency and,
- there are questions of notice. People would not be on this list if we didn't already have the W-9
- 3 from them. So, it's reasonable to assume that they're expecting or interested in receiving a check
- 4 because they gave us a W-9 and they didn't have to do it. The problem is we're required to give
- 5 them notice of these proceedings, which is a different undertaking. I think we've had this
- 6 discussion, in the past, and the direction, as I understand it, is we haven't successfully mail to
- 7 them on some basis that shows mailing. We have to delete them. We can't disburse to them.
- 8 **Paul Kugelman:** It makes the process incredibly inefficient when they don't pick up their mail.
- 9 Personally, I would love to be able to say they sent in a W-9 and they're expecting payment and
- that's enough. The problem I have is the statute is written such that it has to comply with certain
- 11 notice requirements. I'm concerned that, when they don't receive it, they don't have appropriate
- notice and, then, we have constitutional violations that we engage in, if we go ahead and proceed
- without proper notice. It's a constitutional constraint, more than it is a practicality.
- 14 Mark Swartz: As long as we're having this discussion, most of the time it's unclaimed or in
- transit and we don't know what's going on, there. Here, though, we've actually got somebody
- who refused it. To me, that's evidence that he had notice.
- 17 **Paul Kugelman:** And opportunity. Right.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Can we send him the check?
- 19 **Paul Kugelman:** Obviously, he submitted a W-9, because he's on the....
- 20 Mark Swartz: Right. So, we've got his W-9. We know he got an opportunity to have the mail
- 21 and he just said, "Go away."
- 22 **Mary Quillen:** Is this James Vance?
- 23 Mark Swartz: This is Rodney Boyd, actually.
- 24 Mary Quillen: Oh, he's not on this. This James Vance is the one that we were concerned about
- because you said James Vance was one of the ones to be removed.
- 26 **Donnie Rife:** He's already receiving.
- 27 **Mary Quillen:** He's on this Table 1.
- 28 **Sarah Gilmer:** It appears, by the documents that I'm looking at, our current docket, James
- Vance is the only one listed, that needs to be removed. The other three aren't on the petition, at
- 30 all. I don't see them on the exhibits or in the chart.

- 1 Mark Swartz: I think we mailed to the people that we were seeking dismissals because they
- were no longer an owner. That's why the list is so long. Table 1 is real short, but the mailing list
- 3 is quite a bit longer. That's the explanation.
- 4 **Sarah Gilmer:** Okay.
- 5 Mary Quillen: So, what's the story with James Vance? Is he in or is he out?
- 6 Mark Swartz: If he's not on the Table 1 list, then he was to be dismissed on the B2 list.
- 7 Mary Quillen: He's on the Table 1, but you also named him as one of the people that was going
- 8 to be removed.
- 9 Mark Swartz: James Vance is on Table....I'm just taking you at your word. I haven't looked,
- but if he has not picked up his mail, we cannot pay.
- 11 **Paul Kugelman:** Is he the one that refused it?
- 12 Mark Swartz: No.
- 13 **Paul Kugelman:** Okay.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Have we got any further discussion? [No response] Mr. Swartz, have you
- 15 got anything further?
- 16 **Donnie Rife:** I don't think we got the answer we was looking for on Mr. Vance, yet.
- 17 **Paul Kugelman:** He's never picked it up. He didn't refuse it. He just never picked it up.
- 18 **Bill Harris:** That was the notice.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman:** But, we have a valid W-9.
- 20 **Donnie Ratliff:** So, he has communicated back to us.
- 21 <u>Bill Harris:</u> But, the check would go regular mail.
- 22 **Paul Kugelman:** That he wants to be paid, but not that he's aware that this is being heard,
- 23 today. That's the problem. That's where I get hung up because they do want to be paid. I mean,
- 24 if you submit the W-9, obviously, they're agreeing to receive money, but constitutionally
- 25 speaking, due process requires somebody to have notice of the hearing, so they have an
- opportunity to be heard, if they so choose. While they submit the paperwork to be paid, we don't
- 27 know if they want to come in and object to anything.
- **Bill Harris:** So, we're saying that knocks him out of getting the check, then?

- 1 Paul Kugelman: To disburse, you have to have opportunity to comment on the petition. The
- 2 petition is what the vehicle is, for the disbursement.
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** That's a pretty good size little check, right there. I don't think they need to take a
- 4 chance on taking this man's money away from him.
- 5 Mark Swartz: You need to be aware of what happens, here. I would still like to pay the guy
- 6 that refused it and send him a check, but with regard to people who don't want to pick up their
- 7 mail, and see it's a communication from my client, and they don't want to pick it up, this is going
- 8 into neutral for them, just so you all understand. We are not going to continue to chase people
- 9 who should pick up their mail. I don't mean that in a bad way, but our ongoing obligation,
- 10 exercise due diligence, I think is satisfied. If we have a good address and people get their three
- 11 notices. They hold it for the length of time they're supposed to hold it for them and send it back
- to us. Then, the ball shifts back to them, just so you know. That's the result of this. At some
- point, we're going to publish and tell people this is what you need to do, so they get one more
- 14 chance.
- 15 Mary Quillen: Has this been returned to you all, for failure to pick up?
- 16 Mark Swartz: That's how we know. The unclaimed comes back to us.
- 17 Anita Duty: The unclaimed will have on the envelope. It will say, "First notice left." It will
- have the date. Then, it will say, "Second notice left," and has the date. After the third notice is
- 19 left, they send it back to us.
- 20 Mary Quillen: But, you have gotten his back, James Vance?
- 21 Mark Swartz: Right.
- Anita Duty: We actually get the envelope back with those dates and things written on there.
- 23 Mary Quillen: Then, he can't be paid on this Table 1.
- Anita Duty: What we're saying is we mailed to the address he provided on the W-9. The post
- office has written on there that they left him three notices and he did not pick it up.
- 26 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 27 **Anita Duty:** They didn't write on the envelope that this address is bad or he doesn't live here or
- unable to forward, none of that.
- 29 **Bruce Prather:** We have an address for him.
- 30 Mark Swartz: Apparently.
- 31 **Mary Quillen:** But, they were unable to deliver it.

- 1 Mark Swartz: No. He has to pick it up. He has to sign for it.
- 2 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 3 Anita Duty: It's certified mail. They get the little card in the mail.
- 4 Mary Quillen: Right. My question is he's still on this list of Table 1.
- 5 Mark Swartz: We have been in several places on this issue, over the last year. What I
- 6 understood, in August, was that we could not rely on the address in a W-9 and an unclaimed
- 7 mailing.
- 8 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 9 Mark Swartz: So, we're going to have to take Mr. Vance off of here, but we are not going to
- 10 chase Mr. Vance. He's got \$9,000 and change coming. If he doesn't want it, he needs to do
- 11 something.
- 12 Mary Quillen: I'm saying, when we vote, we vote that all of these people, except Mr. Vance,
- that's listed on Table 1, be paid.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Right. We've said that several times. I don't agree with that process, but that's
- what we're....
- 16 Mary Quillen: That's what has been...
- 17 **Bill Harris:** Is he aware, if he does not pick up certified mail, after mailing in his W-9, is he
- aware that he won't get his check?
- 19 Mark Swartz: Who knows? I have no idea.
- 20 Mary Quillen: That can't be the responsibility of them, to know whether he's aware, or not.
- 21 That goes beyond reasonable.
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** If I could make sure clarity, as far as gas and oil, so we can understand, too,
- because we see these. Are we saying, if the certified mail, this guy could work second shift,
- 24 third shift, I'm not sure what the conditions are, but if he does not pick up his certified mail, then
- 25 we do not prove that? I guess is what I am asking. Even though he's turned in his W-9 and it's
- an accurate address?
- 27 Mary Quillen: I think that's what we had advised from our Board attorney, that this is the
- 28 interpretation.
- 29 **Anita Duty:** The only other thing, I guess we could try to mail him that notice one more time,
- 30 before we actually remove him. We could try that. We've done that before.

- 1 <u>Bill Harris:</u> And, the notice has to be certified mail? See, that may be the sticking point.
- 2 Mark Swartz: I never mail certified mail, return receipt requested because stuff comes back all
- 3 the time. People don't want to sign for something. There's a lot of people that just won't sign for
- 4 it. So, we can't just put it in an envelope. We need to send it certified mail, return receipt
- 5 requested.
- 6 Mary Quillen: I agree with that. This is a significant amount of money.
- 7 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman, are you ready for a motion?
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** Yes, sir.
- 9 **Donnie Ratliff:** I make a motion...
- 10 **Rick Cooper:** If I could, could I ask for clarity, for us, when we receive these? I just need to
- 11 know, for sure. We get a little confused, too.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Donnie's going to clarify it, in his motion.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Right. It's clarified in the motion.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Donnie's going to clarify it, in his motion.
- 15 Mark Swartz: There's no general rule. You're going to tell us what you're going to do, is my
- 16 assumption.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** Yes.
- 18 **Rick Cooper:** If I may, it makes it difficult for us to approve these notices, if we're not 100%
- 19 sure.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** You can read it, in the motion. It will be in the motion and in the Board
- 21 record.
- 22 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve the petition, as presented, with the exception that we remove
- James E. Vance, from Table 1, because he didn't receive proper notice.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** It's that simple.
- 25 **Donnie Rife:** Second, Mr. Chairman.
- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? Now, you
- 27 may ask your question.
- 28 **Rick Cooper:** If I understand correctly, then, if the recipient, whomever they mailed to, does
- 29 not actually pick up his certified mail, therefore, that's not adequate notice?

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** That's correct.
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Other than refused.
- 3 **Bruce Prather:** Aren't we doing that?
- 4 Anita Duty: Refusing actually had to say that they did not want it, so they had to do some kind
- 5 of action on it.
- 6 **Paul Kugelman:** There's a difference between a passive refusing to pick it up and an active,
- 7 saying, "I don't want it." That's the point, I think, that's being made.
- 8 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** We're treating them both as the same, though.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman:** No, we're not. That's why we're making the distinction.
- 10 Anita Duty: The refused person is not part of the disbursement. He's just a notice that he was
- being dismissed, as no longer an owner, so he wasn't affected by a disbursement.
- 12 **Mary Quillen:** That isn't part of this. This was a sidebar.
- 13 Mark Swartz: We noticed people for two reasons: one, they were getting money and they were
- on a dismissal.
- 15 **Paul Kugelman:** Right.
- 16 Mark Swartz: The second person that we're talking about, not that he was going to get money,
- but that he was going to be dismissed. He didn't pick up his mail.
- 18 Mary Quillen: Right. But, he's not listed on Table 1.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Right.
- 20 **Bruce Prather:** Once we do this, then, is anything that he has, coming forward, do we cut him
- out of everything, from then on because of what we're doing on this Board?
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** This situation is not, really new. We've dealt with this a couple months ago,
- 23 maybe longer, that if it shows it's unclaimed, then the issue is that person hasn't received proper
- 24 notice. Therefore, we can't disburse them because he didn't receive proper notice. We don't
- 25 know that, just because it's unclaimed, but we're assuming that he didn't receive notice, therefore
- 26 he's removed from the disbursements. It's really not anything new. I think we made it more
- 27 complicated than it really needs to be.
- 28 Mary Quillen: Because this is what we've done, in the past.
- 29 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** At what point do they find out that they're not getting paid?

- 1 **Paul Kugelman:** They may never. I mean, that's the problem.
- 2 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Correct. It's possible that they might never.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Even if you send him a \$9,000 check, there's a good possibility he might not
- 4 pick it up.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Right.
- 6 **Mary Quillen:** Exactly.
- 7 <u>Bill Harris:</u> So, at no point, do we send him a letter that's not certified, that says you have
- 8 \$9,000 here. You need to do this to get it.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Because he received his W-9. He knows that it's there. He received a W-9.
- 11 **Paul Kugelman:** It also has to be done in accordance with 361.19, which is part of the
- procedural problem, or issue, that we're dealing with.
- 13 **Bill Harris:** There's a disconnect somewhere in this.
- 14 **Paul Kugelman:** There is. No doubt about that.
- 15 Mark Swartz: It's the responsibility. People who do stuff like this, there are consequences
- associated with it. We don't need to chase them around to do normal things that a normal human
- being should do in the course of daily activities.
- 18 Mary Quillen: A reasonable expectation of accepting responsibility is exactly what we have to
- 19 operate by.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Sorry. People need to step up to the plate and do some basic things, to get
- 21 through the day. Getting your mail is one of them.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** We have a motion. Let me just clarify the motion. The motion is to
- 23 approve, but to remove the parties that are unclaimed, from payment, not refused, but the parties
- 24 that are unclaimed, for the payment, and we proceed with the disbursement. We have a motion
- and, I think, Donnie, you seconded?
- 26 **Donnie Rife:** Yes.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** I called for further discussion. We've had our discussion. All in favor
- signify by saying yes.
- 29 **Board:** Yes.

1 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

2 <u>Item Number 11</u>

- 3 Bradley Lambert: Docket Item Number 11. This petition, again, has Anna R. Lambert. The
- 4 Chairman doesn't know Anna R. Lambert, but I'm going to recuse myself from this one and ask
- 5 Mr. Ratliff if he will take this, particular, petition.
- 6 **Donnie Ratliff:** This is a petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- 7 escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 92A,
- 8 92B, 94, 95D, 95E, as depicted upon the annexed table. This is Docket Number VGOB-92-
- 9 0421-0218-01. All parties interested, please come forward.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 11 **Donnie Ratliff:** You may proceed.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us, again.
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this docket
- item?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And, we're asking for a disbursement order, authorization to direct pay and
- 18 dismissal of coal owners.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And, the reasons for the request are the royalty split agreements and we have the
- 21 effect of the House Bill, in terms of the entitlement of gas claimants to receive funds. We've
- 22 given 45-day notices to coal claimants. Correct?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 **Mark Swartz:** We have a two-page Table 1?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: With regard to Table 1, this is the list of people that you proposed when you
- 27 filed this, receive disbursements?
- 28 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, they're in Tract 92A and Tract 92B, 94, 95D and 95E. Correct?
- 2 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: With regard to each person or company, have you given their name and mailing
- 4 address?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, for each person or company, have you given, in the second column from
- 7 the right-hand margin, a percentage that the Escrow Agent should use to multiply times the
- 8 deposit on hand, to come up with a dollar amount on the day that the disbursement is to be
- 9 calculated?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Have you provided a revised Exhibit E?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: That indicates that, once these disbursements are made, if they are made, if it's
- approved and they're made, there will no longer be a need for an escrow account.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And, the Board can enter an order that says, to the Agent, to close the account
- after you make these disbursements?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Then, you provided a revised Exhibit EE, with regard to how people are to be
- 20 paid, going forward?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: You provided us with proof of certification of notice of mailing and everybody
- 23 got their mail?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Then, you've given us an Exhibit J, which is the reconciliation?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Did you try to identify all royalty checks and, then, look for a deposit?
- 28 Anita Duty: We did.

- 1 Mark Swartz: When you did that, what did you discover?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** We found all the deposits.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Then, did you do a calculation, to compare your calculated balance to the end of
- 4 July 2016 First Bank and Trust balance?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 **Mark Swartz:** And, there was a difference of \$2.39?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: You had more than the bank.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 **Mark Swartz:** In your calculations?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- Mark Swartz: Anita pointed out to me that there was not an '01 disbursement, as shown on the
- original Exhibit J. We have a revised one, to remove that. It straightens that out. Right?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes, I've got copies, if anybody wants one. We've uploaded it.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, it's in the system, then?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Okay. And, you've got a supplemental affidavit, with regard to mailing to coal
- owners?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Once again, we've got a coal owner whose got some split agreements, but not
- 21 all.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And, you've attached certification of notice, with regard to Coal Mountain,
- indicating they signed for their mail.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: I believe that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 27 **Donnie Ratliff:** Any questions from the Board?

- 1 Mary Quillen: Just one clarification. Some of these are as a result of the House Bill, the 100%?
- 2 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 3 Mary Quillen: Then, the others are 50/50 splits?
- 4 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 5 **Mary Quillen:** Thank you.
- 6 **Donnie Ratliff:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything else, Mr. Swartz?
- 7 Mark Swartz: No.
- 8 **Donnie Ratliff:** Do I have a motion?
- 9 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 10 **Donnie Ratliff:** Do I have a second?
- 11 Bill Harris: Second.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** All those in favor?
- 13 **Board:** Yes.
- 14 **Donnie Ratliff:** Those opposed? [No response] Motion approved, Mr. Swartz.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** One abstention.
- Donnie Ratliff: With one abstention. Mr. Lambert.

17 Item Number 24

- **Donnie Ratliff:** We're going to call Docket Item Number 24. It also has a Lambert, in it. It's
- 19 not known, by the Chair. A petition from CNX Gas Company for (1) the disbursement of funds
- 20 deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 1A, 1C, 1D & 1E. This is
- 21 Docket Number VGOB-02-1217-1104-02. All parties interested, please come forward.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 23 **Donnie Ratliff:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Anita, could you state your name for us, please?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this
- 2 petition?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, we're seeking a disbursement from escrow, authorization to pay some folks
- 5 directly, in the future, and a dismissal, with regard to some coal. Correct?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: The reasons for this petition are we have some royalty split agreements. We
- 8 have the effect of the House Bill, with regard to allowing us and the Board to pay out of escrow
- 9 to gas claimants and we've got 45-day notices to coal owners without a complete response, let's
- 10 put it that way.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Have you provided the Board with a Table 1?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 14 **Mark Swartz:** It's several pages.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Two pages. Have you, on Table 1, identified the tracts from which the
- disbursements are to come?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: For each tract and each disbursement, have you either identified, by name, a
- 20 person or a company?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And provided a mailing address for each person or company who is supposed to
- 23 receive a disbursement?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 25 Mark Swartz: In the second column from the right-hand side of Table 1, have you provided a
- percentage for the Escrow Agent to use, to multiply times the balance on hand at the time of the
- 27 disbursement, which will then yield the dollar amount of the check?
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 29 Mark Swartz: After the disbursement, there will be a change in Exhibit E. Correct?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Have you provided a revised Exhibit E?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, likewise, there will be a change in Exhibit EE, which is the going forward
- 5 payment description. Have you provided that revised exhibit, as well?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 7 Mark Swartz: With regard to the account reconciliation, do you have an Exhibit J?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: In that exhibit, it looks like there was a change that you caught. Correct?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: What was that?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** It was showing the deposit on July 2009 was credited to BA113.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Has that been corrected?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** It appears because it's in our reconcile.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay. Then, in addition to that, did you attempt to identify all of your royalty
- 16 checks and look for a corresponding deposit?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 18 Mark Swartz: When you did that, were you able to find a deposit for each check?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Then, did you do your math, with regard to what your estimate, as to the amount
- on deposit would have been the end of July 2016?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Then, you've got the actual bank balance from First Bank and Trust and, when
- you compare them, what's the difference?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** We're showing \$405.46 more.
- 26 Mark Swartz: We've got a supplemental affidavit of mailing to coal owners. Right?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Once again, we've got a situation where the coal owner obtains some split
- 2 agreements, but not all?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: So, in some respects, the coal owner is going to receive royalty payments and, in
- 5 others, their claim is going to be dismissed.
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And, you've got a certificate of mailing, with regard to the coal owner. Correct?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Since originally filing this, have you revised the affidavit, with regard to
- mailing?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Okay. With regard to this affidavit of mailing and the attachments, is this an
- updated certification of notice?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And, it indicates that there was a Mickey Hale that did not claim his/her mail.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 **Mark Swartz:** Have you already removed?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** No, we didn't.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, we need to do that. We need to take that person off of Table 1, or
- 20 no?
- 21 Anita Duty: We had revised it to remove Loeita Lambert and Stacy Martin because they were
- actually not unclaimed. It was in transit.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 24 Anita Duty: And, now that it's been decided that unclaimed people should also be removed,
- 25 then we'll have to do another revision.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, there were some people that were in transit that we removed from...?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** In advance because we knew that that wasn't...
- 28 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Right. From Table 1, but we need to remove Mickey Hale from Table 1, too.

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Then, we will have it squared away.
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, you were back and forth with Sarah about the other two people, in advance,
- 5 so everybody knew that was happening.
- 6 Anita Duty: Right.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 8 Anita Duty: That's already been uploaded. Now, we've got to revise the revision.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Right. I believe that's it.
- 10 **Donnie Ratliff:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything else? [No response]
- So, we would ask for a motion, removing Mickey Hale from Tract 1D. Is that the only one he's
- 12 in?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** I don't know.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I think that's the only one he's on, 1D. Do we have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 16 **Donnie Ratliff:** And, that motion includes removing Mickey Hale from...
- 17 **Donnie Rife:** Table 1.
- 18 **Bill Harris:** I'll second that.
- 19 **Donnie Ratliff:** I have a motion and a second. Any discussion? [No response] All those in
- 20 favor, say yes.
- 21 **Board:** Yes.
- 22 **Donnie Ratliff:** Opposed, no.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** Abstain.
- 24 **Donnie Ratliff:** One abstention. Mr. Lambert.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** I want to suggest that, since we've got several more items to go through, that
- we go ahead and take a 30-minute lunch and let's get back in 30 minutes.

- 2 Bradley Lambert: We'll call this back to order, this afternoon. We're going to begin with
- 3 Petition Number 12, on the agenda. It's a petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for the
- 4 disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent,
- 5 attributable to Tracts 106G, 106I, 106J, 106K, 120B, and 123A, as depicted upon the annexed
- 6 table. This is Docket Number VGOB-98-1117-0697. We've got two, separate docket numbers.
- 7 Anita Duty: One is the sealed gob and one is the actual, underlying, unit.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** So, are we combining two docket items?
- 9 **Rick Cooper:** So, this is actually a different type of gob. Maybe, Anita can explain it.
- 10 Anita Duty: It's a panel.

1

- 11 **Rick Cooper:** So, used to do one paneled, sealed gob. So, it's actually both.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** So, are we hearing two docket items, under this one?
- Anita Duty: No, the one docket number goes with the sealed gob. The other docket number
- goes with the SLW11.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** I understand that, but are we calling two docket items?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** No, 697 has nothing to do with it.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** 697 has nothing to do with it.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** 697 is the sealed gob docket number.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Which we don't need, today.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Which we're not calling, right?
- 21 Mark Swartz: Correct. We're not calling it.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** So, we're calling Docket Item VGOB-92-0818-0248-02.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, this is Docket Item Number 12. Could you state your name
- 2 for us?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of the petitioner, CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 5 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, this is a request for disbursement from SLW11?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And, in addition to that, a request to be able to pay certain people, going
- 9 forward, directly, and for a dismissal, with regard to some coal owners?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And, the reasons we are here is that we have resolved some heirship issues,
- which allows us to make some payments. Correct?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- Mark Swartz: Have you prepared a Table 1, with regard to this petition?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: That is Pages 8 and 9 of the PDF, I think.
- 17 Anita Duty: It is.
- 18 Mark Swartz: It's, obviously, two pages and pertains to several tracts. If this petition is
- 19 granted, there will no longer be an escrow requirement, so that will actually pay out the entire
- 20 account.
- 21 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 22 Mark Swartz: With regard to Table 1, have you identified all the tracts that this pertains to?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Have you provided a name of a person or a company, to whom or to which, all
- of the disbursements should be made?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> For each person or company identified, have you provided a mailing address?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Then, in the second column from the right-hand side of Table 1, have you stated
- a percentage for each person or company?
- 4 Anita Duty: We have.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And the Board order should direct the Escrow Agent to use that percentage,
- 6 apply it to the balance on hand at the time the disbursement is made, and that will generate the
- 7 dollar amount that should be paid out?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: You provided a revised Exhibit E, showing no future escrow requirement?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: A revised EE, that shows how payments will be made, going forward?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And, you're requesting authorization to make those payments, directly, rather
- than escrow?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** We are.
- 16 Mark Swartz: We have a certification of notice and it looks like everybody got their mail.
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, we have an Exhibit J, which is a reconciliation. Correct?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Did you attempt to identify all royalty checks that the operator issued?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Then, did you look for a corresponding deposit?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Did you find one?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Did you try to estimate your amount that you would expect to see on deposit and
- compare that to the June 2016 First Bank and Trust balance?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> When you made the comparison, there was a difference?
- **Anita Duty:** The bank is showing \$30.31 more than we do.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Then, you've got the next exhibit after the reconciliation is just a recap of what's
- 5 contributing?
- **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: I believe that's it.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman?
- **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** It may be the sealed gob unit, Mr. Swartz, but on your relief sought, you've
- listed 106G, 106I, 106J and 106K, but on Table 1, at the bottom, are a totally different set of
- wells.
- **Anita Duty:** The 106G, those are tract numbers.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.
- **Anita Duty:** Those SLW's were weird. They were numbered weird.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I bet.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 19 Swartz?
- 20 Mark Swartz: No.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- **Bill Harris:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 25 favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That's approved.

<u>Item Number 13</u>

- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 13. A petition from CNX Gas
- 3 Company, LLC, for (1) disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's
- 4 Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 3, as depicted upon the annexed table; (2) authorization to
- 5 begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3) dismissal of the coal
- 6 owners listed in the petition. This is Docket Number VGOB-93-0622-0380-01. All parties
- 7 wishing to testify please come forward.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 10 Mark Swartz: State your name for us, Anita.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Are you here, on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this petition
- 13 for disbursement?

1

- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: We are asking for a disbursement, authorization for direct pay, going forward,
- and we're addressing some coal owner claims. Correct?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And, the reasons for this petition are summarized in paragraph 5. First of all,
- we've got some split agreements. Right?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Then, we've got some folks that are now entitled to payment, under the House
- Bill. Lastly, we've got some folks that we've given the 45-day notice to, as coal claimants.
- 23 Correct?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** Have you prepared a Table 1?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 **Mark Swartz:** In Table 1, we're looking to make a disbursement from Tract 3. Correct?
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, this disbursement would be a partial disbursement from the escrow
- 2 account?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: With regard to the people that you are asking the Board make disbursements to,
- 5 have you identified them all, by name, and provided a mailing address?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: In Table 1, the second column from the right-hand side, have you given a
- 8 percentage for each person or company?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And, the Escrow Agent should be directed to use that percentage, apply it to the
- balance on hand at the time the disbursement is made, and that will generate the amount of the
- check to be cut?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Have you provided a revised Exhibit E, with regard to the escrow requirements,
- 15 going forward?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And, likewise, have you provided a revised Exhibit EE, with regard to the
- payment status, going forward?
- 19 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: With regard to folks receiving disbursements under this petition, are you asking
- 21 to be able to pay them directly, in the future?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Have you provided an affidavit of mailing and set forth the status of that?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: It looks like everybody got their mail.
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 **Mark Swartz:** With regard to Exhibit J, you've done a reconciliation.
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Were you able to find a deposit for every royalty check?
- 2 Anita Duty: We did.
- 3 Mark Swartz: When you compared your calculated balance to the bank's July 31, 2016,
- 4 balance, was there a difference?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** The bank is showing \$112.79 more.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Did you give notice to any coal owners?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: It was Harrison-Wyatt and that company signed for the mail?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** They did.
- 10 Mark Swartz: This is another instance where Harrison-Wyatt had some split agreements, but
- 11 not all.
- 12 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 13 Mark Swartz: So, we've got some payments to them, going forward, and we've got some
- 14 dismissals, as well.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Okay. I believe that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Mr. Swartz, help me
- understand something. I know you testified that you were dismissing a coal owner. I assume
- that's H-W Financial, LLC.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Well, yes and no. I think they're going to receive.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** It looks like they're receiving a pretty large sum of money.
- 22 Mark Swartz: This is the successor to Harrison-Wyatt. Harrison-Wyatt attempted, as did a lot
- of companies, to get split agreements, but wasn't able to get them all the time. So, this is a
- 24 situation where they're getting paid under this disbursement request, on situations where they
- 25 were able to obtain split agreements. But, to the extent they weren't, the gas claimants are being
- paid 100% and they're being cut out. We're paying them forward and we're also dismissing
- 27 them, to the extent they didn't get a split agreement. So, they're being treated two ways, here.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 29 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> This keeps coming up. You'll see a lot of this. That's the complicated answer.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you. Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything
- 2 further, Mr. Swartz?
- 3 Mark Swartz: No.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 5 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 6 Bill Harris: Second.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 8 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 9 **Board:** Yes.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 14. A petition from CNX Gas
- 14 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to a portion of Tract 1E, as depicted upon the annexed table;
- 16 (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3)
- dismissal of coal owner. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 20 **Donnie Rife:** Did you say "14," Mr. Chair?
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** 14.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- Mark Swartz: Are you here, today, on behalf of the petitioner, CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: This is a petition to do three things: obtain a disbursement, obtain authorization
- for some direct payments, going forward, and also to address dismissal of some coal owners.

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And, the reason or basis for this request is listed in paragraph 5. We have some
- 3 folks that are entitled to payment, under the House Bill. Correct?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And, then we've given some notices and we're seeking coal owner dismissals
- 6 because of failure to come forward, with evidence of either an agreement or a case.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. Let's go to Table 1, first. We've got a one-page Table 1 and we're
- 9 looking at a partial disbursement, I think?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And, this is coming from Tract 1E.
- 12 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 13 Mark Swartz: With regard to the people that we want to receive a disbursement, have you
- listed every person or company, by name, on Table 1?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 **Mark Swartz:** Have you provided a mailing address for everybody?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: In the second column from the right-hand side of Table 1, have you provided a
- 19 percentage that the Escrow Agent should use to apply to the balance on hand, to generate a dollar
- amount that should be paid to each person?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Then, we've got a revised Exhibit E, which would reflect the escrow
- 23 requirements, after these disbursements are made?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Then, we've done the same for Exhibit EE, which would reflect who is to be
- paid and how people are to be paid, after the escrow disbursement.
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: We've got an affidavit of mailing. It looks like you re-mailed to some people.
- 2 Was that successful?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** I believe so.
- 4 Mark Swartz: So, you got delivered to Jodi Dixon, on what date?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** September 7.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Okay. Then, with regard to Curtis Wade?
- 7 Anita Duty: Delivered September 7
- 8 Mark Swartz: Is that attached to a supplement filing or do you need to upload that?
- 9 Anita Duty: No.
- 10 Mark Swartz: It's a supplemental filing?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, you caught those two people and you filed it.
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: Okay. So, we don't need to extract anybody from Table 1?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** Let me ask a question about that. I know what we have in our files for
- 17 Curtis Wade, says, "No authorized recipient available."
- 18 Anita Duty: We re-mailed it. Can you check, Sarah, and make sure? I think we uploaded that
- one, back on the 8th. We had to do a supplemental mailing because it came back returned, so we
- 20 re-mailed.
- 21 **Sarah Gilmer:** There are several that you changed for that.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** And, Jodi Dixon? Same way?
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes. They've got the copies in the file. I can have her load it, if you don't want to
- 24 see the...
- 25 **Rick Cooper:** Do you see those, Ms. Gilmer?
- 26 Mark Swartz: Anita filed a supplemental affidavit, which should be in the system, once it
- 27 works, on September 8th, that updated the results of the.... You'll notice on yours, it says, "Re-

- 1 mailed." It should say, on the right-hand, on your certification of notice. After those people,
- 2 does it show, "re-mailed," outside of the margin?
- 3 **Sarah Gilmer:** Yes, we do have those.
- 4 Mark Swartz: So, that came in? So, we re-mailed it. They got the mail. We filed a
- 5 supplemental that we've loaded and she's acknowledged that you have it. So, we got those
- 6 people on a second mailing.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** For Dixon and Wade?
- 8 **Sarah Gilmer:** Jodi Dixon and Curtis Wade.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 10 Mark Swartz: So, ultimately, we got everybody.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes. If we catch it quick enough, we try to do that.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Then, on Exhibit J, a reconciliation?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Did you look for all of your royalty checks and, then, look for a corresponding
- 15 deposit?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Were you able to match every check to a deposit?
- Anita Duty: No, there's a deposit missing for \$530.90, on January 25, 2000, and a deposit for
- 19 \$1,288.40, missing on April 25, 2001.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And, because those are so old, you haven't been able to locate any kind of back-
- 21 up that would allow you to solve those issues. Correct?
- 22 Anita Duty: Well, that would be more the bank trying to find it.
- 23 Mark Swartz: I understand, but you haven't been able to?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** But, you have record of a deposit, just no record of the bank showing it.
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Those two are missing from the bank's side.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Right. Correct. Just, by contrast, we have a similar problem at 15, but those
- 28 deposits that we can't account for are much more recent and we're going to ask you to continue

- 1 15, so we can go look for them. But, because these are 15-years-old, we'd like to move forward
- with this. We're bringing that to your attention. Then, we've got a supplemental affidavit of
- 3 mailing, with regard to the coal owners, here.
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: It looks like Hurt-McGuire signed for this one.
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: We've got a dismissal request, with regard to them. Then, when you did your
- 8 math, taking into consideration the missing deposits, you did come up with a difference between
- 9 your estimated balance and the First Bank and Trust balance, as of April 2016. Correct?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 11 Mark Swartz: I would like to ask you about that. What's the difference?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Our total was showing \$959.13 more than the bank.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Right, and which is, obviously, the biggest contributing factor is the two checks
- 14 that you.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes. That was, approximately, \$1,800.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Right. Two checks that didn't find their way in. I think that's it, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything else, Mr. Swartz?
- 18 Mark Swartz: No.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 20 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 21 **Bill Harris:** Second.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- favor signify by saying yes.
- 24 **Board:** Yes.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

<u>Item Number 15</u>

- 2 Mark Swartz: As I indicated, we would like to continue 15, because we've got some
- 3 reconciliation issues that we might be able to work with the bank and Mr. Cooper's office, to
- 4 resolve.

1

- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** November? December?
- 6 Mark Swartz: That would be good.
- 7 Anita Duty: I already had spoken to Jody about it, before she left. I'll be emailing her.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** So, Docket Item VGOB-98-0324-0636-03 will be continued until
- 9 November.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 16. A petition from CNX Gas
- 13 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, a portion of 1C & 2D, as depicted
- upon the annexed table; (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in
- the petition; and (3) dismissal of oil and gas owners. All parties wishing to testify please come
- 17 forward.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, today?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: With regard to this petition for requesting a disbursement and authorization for
- 25 direct pay and addressing coal owner dismissals?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 **Mark Swartz:** The basis for the request are actually two. On the one hand, we have a transfer
- of some interest and some royalty split agreements. Correct?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Then, on the other hand, we also have the effect of the House Bill, benefitting
- 3 some gas owners. Correct?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 **Mark Swartz:** Then, lastly, we'll address coal owner dismissals.
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Okay. If we go to Table 1, there's a fairly extensive list of folks, here. This is a
- 8 percentage table. Correct?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And, it pertains to multiple tracts?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** It does.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And, is it a partial disbursement from escrow or does it close the account?
- 13 Anita Duty: Partial.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Have you identified each tract that is at issue, on Table 1?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And, have you identified, by name, each person or company that we are
- proposing should receive a disbursement from the escrow account?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And, have you provided the Board and the bank with an address for each person
- or company?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: In the second column from the right-hand margin, on Table 1, have you given a
- 23 percentage for each person or company?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, should the Escrow Agent be directed to use that percentage, multiply it by
- 26 the balance on hand when the checks are cut, to generate a dollar amount for each person?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, you've provided an Exhibit E, which would reflect the status in the escrow
- 2 account, after the disbursements?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Likewise, you've given us a EE, which shows the on-pay status, going forward.
- 5 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And reflects that we'll be able to pay some additional people, directly?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: You've got three unclaimed and one refused. Right?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: I guess, based on extensive discussion we had, this morning, we would like to
- treat the refusal as someone who affirmed they could have had notice, but chose not to avail
- himself of it. And, we would like to move forward with Rodney Boyd, but with regard to Jody
- 13 Baldwin, Sandra Street and James Vance, those people were unclaimed and we would need to, to
- the extent they're on to be paid, on Table 1, we would have to remove them. Correct?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Then, if we go to Exhibit J, you've done a reconciliation, again.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: When you identified all the checks and looked for deposits, were you able to
- 19 find one for each check? It looks like there was one that was missing, but they caught it the next
- 20 month. Anything else?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** I think we're good.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Then, you did your calculation, with regard to what you thought the balance
- ought to be?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, you compared that to the July 31, 2016, First Bank and Trust balance.
- 26 There was a difference of what amount?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** First Bank and Trust was showing \$9.20 more.
- 28 Mark Swartz: Except, if we look at the math, we know that's something wrong, there.

- 1 Anita Duty: That's right.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, actually, if we do the math...
- 3 **Anita Duty:** \$33.38.
- 4 Mark Swartz: For some reason or other, the difference is \$9.20 in the exhibit, but it's wrong.
- 5 Correct?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes. We will correct that.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Then, we have a supplemental affidavit, with regard to coal owners. Correct?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Again, we've got a coal owner that has some split agreements, with regard to
- some of its coal interest, but not all.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- Mark Swartz: So, Hugh McRae is going to be receiving some payments, going forward, but
- also going to be dismissed, as a coal claimant, in some other instances, where it doesn't have
- either a lawsuit or a split agreement?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: This is a situation where I think we may have dismissed some of the coal
- owners, already, but if not...
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Because we mailed to them so long ago. This is a situation where we've notified
- 20 them, given them 45-day notice and haven't gotten a response, except to the extent that Hugh
- 21 McRae had some agreements.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 23 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 25 **Sarah Gilmer:** I have something to say. I don't think this would apply to James Vance,
- actually. He's not on this petition. He was just in that list.
- 27 Anita Duty: He's one of the dismissals.
- 28 Sarah Gilmer: Okay.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 2 Mark Swartz: Just to reiterate what Sarah said. To the extent that those people were dismissal
- 3 people, as opposed to payment people, they don't need to be.... They would only be extracted
- 4 from her list if they were unclaimed and on Table 1. Otherwise, they're dismissals, on B2.
- 5 Right?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 8 **Rick Cooper:** Pardon, Ms. Gilmer?
- 9 **Sarah Gilmer:** I still didn't see him on there, being dismissed.
- 10 Mark Swartz: I'm agreeing with you.
- 11 Sarah Gilmer: Okay. It doesn't matter. I think it's just the same affidavit, the same list of
- 12 people.
- 13 Mark Swartz: So, there are actually people on there that didn't....
- 14 **Anita Duty:** We mailed them together. I see what you're saying.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 Bill Harris: Second.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response]
- 19 All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 20 **Board:** Yes.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 17. A petition from CNX Gas
- 24 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 2, as depicted upon the annexed table; (2)
- authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3)
- 27 dismissal of coal owner. Docket Number VGOB-01-0116-0853-02. All parties wishing to
- 28 testify please come forward.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name.
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this
- 6 disbursement petition?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And, it includes disbursement, authorization for direct pay and to address
- 9 dismissals of coal owners. Right?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: We're going to see a couple more cases like this, as we work through the docket,
- but this is a situation where H.C. Bostic Coal Company won a court case and is entitled to 100%
- of some CBM royalties, under that decision.
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And, you've attached a copy of that decision with the exhibits, here.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Then, we also have a situation where the same company is a gas claimant in
- some tracts that weren't, necessarily, addressed by the litigation and would be entitled, under the
- 19 House Bill, to payment.
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Then, we've got 45-day notices to some coal claimants. If you come through,
- we have a fairly direct Table 1.
- 23 Anita Duty: We do.
- 24 Mark Swartz: It pertains to Tract 2 and provides that H.C. Bostic Coal Company, at the address
- stated, would receive 100% of the escrow balance.
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, you have provided a revised Exhibit E, which shows that, if this petition is
- approved, we're not going to require escrow for this unit, anymore.

- 1 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And, an Exhibit EE, providing how people are to be paid, going forward.
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: We've got an affidavit of mailing. It shows the mail was accepted by Bostic and
- 5 Bostic's lawyer, Shea Cook. Right?
- 6 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 7 Mark Swartz: We've got a reconciliation. Were you able to find every royalty check and a
- 8 corresponding deposit, for that check?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: When you compared what you thought would be in the escrow account to the
- July 31, 2016, balance, was there a difference?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** The bank was showing \$78.04 more.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And Buckhorn Coal needs to be dismissed and they got their notice and we have
- 14 proof of notice.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** We put it in there, but we really didn't need to because the court order took care of
- 16 it.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Okay. There were some gas claimants, too, so we probably did need it.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Okay.
- 19 Mark Swartz: So, to the extent the court order applied to the tract, you're right, but there was
- some additional interest. Lastly, we have an affidavit, signed by the President of H.C. Bostic
- 21 Coal Company, authorizing the Board to pay. I'll just quote. "Terrence Shea Cook is my
- authorized attorney-in-fact, to receive for distribution on my behalf, all funds held in escrow by
- the DGO." Correct?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** That's what it says.
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: That's all I have.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 29 Swartz?

- 1 Mark Swartz: No.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 4 **Bill Harris:** Second.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion?
- 6 **Donnie Ratliff:** So, a check will be made to Bostic Coal, mailed to Shea Cook.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. I thought I had a second, didn't I?
- 9 **Bill Harris:** I seconded, I believe.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** All in favor signify by saying yes. We did that, already, so that one is
- 11 approved.
- 12 Mary Quillen: Yes.

13 <u>Item Numbers 18, 19, 20 and 22</u>

- 14 Mark Swartz: Chairman, if you look at 18, 19 and 20, they're the same Bostic. And 22 is the
- same. I don't know if you want to combine 18, 19, 20 and 22.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** 18, 19, 20 and 22. Okay. We'll call those, then. We're calling Docket Item
- Number 18, which is Docket Number VGOB-01-0116-0854-02. And, we're calling Docket
- Number 19, which is VGOB-01-0116-0856-02. And, Docket Item Number 20, which is Docket
- 19 Number VGOB-01-0116-0857-01. And, we're calling Docket Item 22, which is Docket Number
- 20 VGOB-01-0821-0917-01. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, would you state your name for us, please?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Are you here, on behalf of the petitioner, CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, this collection of petitions that we're calling, together, are all petitions for
- 2 disbursements?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, they're all predicated, basically, on the same court case and, then, to the
- 5 extent that House Bill 2058 supplements the ability of the Bostic Company to receive funds, also
- 6 predicated on that basis.
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: In each instance, you have attached a copy of the order?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And, you've attached a copy of the President of the H.C. Bostic Company's
- affidavit, with regard to these four cases and, also, his request that the checks be made payable to
- 12 H.C. Bostic, but mailed to their lawyer, Shea Cook. Correct?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Starting with AV113, you have a Table 1, that would require the escrow account
- to be disbursed, in full, to H.C. Bostic Company?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And, you've revised the Exhibit E, to show a zero out of the escrow?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And, you filed an appropriate EE, with regard to payments, going forward?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: You have filed your proof of mailing to Bostic and their lawyer?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And, you've done a reconciliation. Were you able to find a deposit for every
- 24 royalty check?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And, was there a bit of a difference, when you compared your calculation?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** I take that back.
- 28 **Mark Swartz:** Okay.

- Anita Duty: On February 28, 2015, there was a deposit of \$63.83 that was not shown on the
- 2 bank's side.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Tell me that, again.
- 4 Anita Duty: On February 28, 2015.... I'm sorry. It's showing as a correction, in the following
- 5 month, so everything is good.
- 6 Mark Swartz: So, you caught it.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Everything is good. Sorry.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. At least, in terms of the royalty payments that the operator sent in, there
- 9 is a corresponding deposit?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** There is.
- 11 Mark Swartz: When you did your math, you came up with a \$52.13 difference?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: The difference is that the bank shows a little bit more than you did.
- 14 **Anita Duty:** They do.
- 15 Mark Swartz: You've got a certification of notice, again, to Buckhorn Coal and their interest is,
- of course, addressed in the judgment, but also to the extent they're in conflict, this addresses that.
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- Mark Swartz: That's all I have, on 18. If we go to Docket Item 19....
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions on anything, before we move to 19? Any questions from the
- 20 Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr. Swartz.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Thank you. If we go to 19, we're talking about AW112?
- 22 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 23 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> It's, basically, an identical situation?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Except the amount of money in the account is different.
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: We, again, have a Table 1, showing 100% of the money, that the Escrow Agent
- 2 should disburse 100% of the money in this escrow account to.... I take that back. No, this does
- 3 not zero it out, does it?
- 4 Anita Duty: No.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Tract 1, Bostic gets 52.5012% of the balance on hand in the escrow account, at
- 6 the time the disbursement is made.
- 7 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. As a result of the judgment that we've been talking about?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Then, we've got an Exhibit A, going forward, because there is still going to be
- some money on deposit, with regard to AW112.
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And, you've got a revised Exhibit EE, showing how the payments are to be
- made, going forward?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: You've got an affidavit of mailing, showing again, receipt by H.C. Bostic Coal
- 17 Company and their lawyer, Shea Cook. We have, then, an Exhibit J. When you looked for
- 18 royalty checks, having been deposited, did you find a deposit for each one?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 20 Mark Swartz: When you compared your calculations to the bank balance, was there a
- 21 difference?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** The bank was showing \$20.41 more.
- 23 Mark Swartz: You've got a coal owner affidavit. Again, Buckhorn? Same situation?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: They signed for that mail. Again, we've got an affidavit, on behalf of the coal
- company, requesting the check be mailed to their lawyer?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 28 Mark Swartz: That's all I have on 19, Mr. Chairman.

- 1 <u>Bradley Lambert:</u> Any questions from the Board, on 19? [No response] You may continue,
- 2 Mr. Swartz.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Thank you. 20 pertains to AW113. Right, Anita?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Once again, we have H.C. Bostic Coal Company. Correct?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: They're receiving a disbursement, pertaining to Tract 1?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And, the Escrow Agent should take 59.4380% of the balance on hand, when the
- disbursement is being calculated. That will generate a dollar amount that should be, then, sent to
- 11 H.C. Bostic Coal Company, in care of its lawyer, Shea Cook. Right?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: We've got a revised Exhibit E, with regard to future escrow and a revised
- Exhibit EE, with regard to future payments?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: You've got an affidavit of mailing. Once again, Bostic Company and Cook
- 17 received their mail. We've got an Exhibit J. It looks like there was an issue, here, on the last
- page. If you could tell the Board what you found when you went looking at your royalty checks
- and deposits. What did you find?
- Anita Duty: The deposit on February 28, 2015, of \$522.92 was incorrectly posted to AW113.
- 21 It should have been credited to BC119. That correction was made, in January.
- 22 Mark Swartz: So, with that correction, as far as you can tell from the bank's records and your
- records, your royalty checks found it into the account, as a deposit?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, this one error needed to be corrected and has been?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: When you did your math and compared it to the July 31, 2016, First Bank and
- 28 Trust balance, there was a difference of how much?
- 29 **Anita Duty:** The bank was showing \$231.95 less than our calculation.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Can I ask a question about that? I noticed when you talk about that and you
- 2 say the bank shows more, sometimes it shows a negative \$231.95 and you say that the bank
- 3 shows more. Sometimes, there's not a negative and you say the bank shows more. Which way is
- 4 it?
- 5 Mark Swartz: We had a whole team doing these. There is an explanation. We had many,
- 6 many people, not just one person doing it. Clearly, some of them did it differently. I noticed the
- same thing, but they were done, in the past, when we were doing this big effort to the 453 units,
- 8 in the book, that you've got. They were all doing it, then. So, they were doing it slightly
- 9 differently. That's why. This was internal, our people. We had a team doing this.
- 10 Anita Duty: I think they always take the CNX balance and subtract the First Bank and Trust
- balance. So, depending on which way that turns out. Usually, if it's negative, it means that we
- show more and, if it's positive, they show more.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Usually, but not always.
- 14 **Paul Kugelman:** I think the Chairman is asking if the testimony reflect that, consistently, not so
- much that, if the bank shows more than it needs to be, that testimony needs to be the same for
- each time, regardless of what.... I'm not trying to be over-picky.
- Anita Duty: So, do you want me to say the banks shows more or less or do you want me to say
- 18 CNX shows more or less? How do you want me to ...?
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Sometimes, when there's a negative number, you say the bank shows more.
- Just now, on this one, you said the bank shows more, but there's no negative number in front of
- 21 that
- 22 **Anita Duty:** This time, we show more.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** But, you said the bank showed more.
- Anita Duty: We show more. How do you want me to do it? Do you want...?
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** I don't care. If there's a negative there...
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Do you want me to always say what the bank shows?
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** No. What I'm saying is, whatever you want to testify, if there's a negative
- there, it's one way. If there's not a negative there, it's another way. We're mixing them up.
- 29 **Bill Harris:** I think, in that line that says difference, if we even have it in parentheses, bank
- 30 shows more, or something. That difference is different with different...
- 31 **Sarah Gilmer:** Each company does...

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** I know, but the Board needs to know, since this is evidence, before the
- 2 Board, which way is it? Is it the company shows more or the bank shows more? We're hearing
- 3 it mixed testimony.
- 4 Anita Duty: From now on, I'm always going to tell you what the bank's doing. Either the bank
- 5 is going to be more or they're going to be less. I'm never going to say what CNX is doing.
- 6 Mark Swartz: That addresses your issue.
- 7 **Paul Kugelman:** It does. That's fine.
- 8 **Anita Duty:** It doesn't really matter what we calculate, anyway.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** It does.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** It depends on whether I'm going to tell you it's shorter.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** In that context, it does.
- Mary Quillen: Well, it's the semantics of how a person conveys that. When the different
- people were doing those calculations....
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Well, in accounting, a negative number means we're in a deficit.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** I understand what you're saying.
- **Bill Harris:** The reference should be what CNX has and, then, if it's negative, that we're below
- what the bank has? I'm just trying to define the difference.
- Anita Duty: What we do is take.... We're not looking at what we sent in. We take what we've
- sent in, plus the interest, minus fees, whatever, and we're just doing a running balance, just
- 20 mathematically. The bank does theirs. Sometimes, the bank doesn't always agree with us.
- 21 **Bill Harris:** I understand that.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** So, we're taking those two numbers and....
- 23 **Bill Harris:** The question is that, even though.... The problem is the representation of the
- 24 difference, in red, sometimes that's a positive number. Sometimes, that's a negative number, but
- in both cases, sometimes the bank has shown more or less and it's sort of confusing.
- 26 **Anita Duty:** I understand, so what I'm saying is the ones that we've already filed, there's going
- 27 to be a transition period where it's still going to be weird, like this. Going forward, anything new
- that we file, we're going to always say the difference. It's either going to be the bank's, plus or
- 29 minus.
- 30 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.

- 1 Mark Swartz: So, if it's a positive number, that means the bank has more. If it's a negative
- 2 number, it means the bank has less than our comparison. Right?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes. Correct. Going forward. There are still some that have been filed, in this
- 4 meantime.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Fair enough. Thank you.
- 6 Mark Swartz: We've got Buckhorn. We've got the same situation, again. That's it, on that.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Any questions on this particular item? [No response] You may
- 8 continue, Mr. Swartz.
- 9 Mark Swartz: That was 20, right?
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: Now, we're going to skip to 22, which is AY115. Anita tells me this is a Bostic
- disbursement, but not related to the court order. Let's look at it, since you called it.
- 13 Anita Duty: Let me make sure. Yes, it is.
- 14 Mark Swartz: No way! I got it right?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** You did. Sorry.
- 16 Mark Swartz: 22 pertains to Unit AY115, correct?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. We've got, again, the Bostic court decision and we've got a Table 1. This
- is one where there's actually 100% of the escrow coming out. Correct?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: In this one, AY115, our petition is to ask that the Board direct the Escrow Agent
- 22 to pay 100% of the balance in this account to H.C. Bostic Coal Company. We have filed an
- Exhibit E, a revised exhibit, showing that escrow will no longer be required. We filed an Exhibit
- EE, showing payments, going forward. We have an affidavit of mailing that shows that H.C.
- Bostic Coal and Cook got notice. We have an Exhibit J. It looks like there are no changes to
- 26 that, correct?
- 27 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 28 Mark Swartz: It looks like, consequently, you were able to find a deposit for every royalty
- 29 check?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: When you did your math, there was a \$.28 difference?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes. The bank is showing \$.28 more.
- 4 Mark Swartz: We've got a negative number in front of it.
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Whenever the bank has more, it's always negative.
- 6 Mark Swartz: So, we're going to fix that. Aren't we?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes, we are.
- 8 Mark Swartz: We're going to get on the same page, here. Then, we've got a copy of the final
- 9 order and I believe that's it.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board on Docket Item Number 22? [No response]
- 11 Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 12 Mark Swartz: No. Thank you.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion on Docket Items 18, 19, 20 and 22?
- 14 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 **Donnie Rife:** Second, Mr. Chairman.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 17 response All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 18 **Board:** Yes.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** Now, we're calling Docket Item Number 21. A petition from CNX Gas
- Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 2A, 3D, and a portion of 2B, as depicted upon the
- annexed table; (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the
- petition; (3) dismissal of oil and gas owners listed in the petition; and (4) dismissal of coal
- owners. Docket Number VGOB-01-0320-0878-04. All parties wishing to testify please come
- 28 forward.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** You may continue, Mr. Swartz.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, state your name for us.
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 5 Mark Swartz: You're here, on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this petition
- 6 for disbursement from escrow, pertaining to DD24. Correct?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And, you have indicated the reasons that we're here. We've got a sale of some
- 9 interest, purchases and sale of some interest. Correct?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And, we have some royalty split agreements and we have the aftermath of House
- 12 Bill 2058.
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And, we've got some coal claims to be dealt with.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: If we go to Table 1, we have a two-page table. Correct?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Pertaining to a couple of tracts?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: It looks like three tracts. Have you identified, on Table 1, by name, all
- 21 companies or persons, who we are proposing receive a disbursement?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: For each person or company, have we given a mailing address and a percentage,
- in the second column from the right-hand side, that the Escrow Agent should use to calculate the
- dollar amount due, by multiplying that percentage times the balance on hand, when the
- 26 disbursements are made?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, there's still going to be an escrow requirement, going forward. You've
- 2 given a revised Exhibit E, with regard to that?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, you have revised Exhibit EE, to indicate what the pay status, going
- 5 forward, would be?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 7 Mark Swartz: We've got an affidavit of mailing and we've got, I'm thinking, this is the same
- 8 Vance issue. Right?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: But, we do have Rodney Boyd refusing and, then, we've got three unclaimed.
- To the extent any of the unclaimed people, are on Table 1, they shouldn't be paid. Correct?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 13 Mark Swartz: They may not be, but to the extent they are, they should not and we'll revise it, if
- 14 necessary.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: With regard to Exhibit J, it looks like there were no corrections. Is that right?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** What do you mean?
- 18 Mark Swartz: Like unaccounted for deposits.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes, there are.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Oh, there are. Okay. Let's get to those, then.
- 21 Anita Duty: December 31, 2003, the bank is showing a deposit of \$47.59 and we do not show
- 22 that, that we made that deposit.
- 23 Mark Swartz: So, that was not from a check that we issued for a royalty.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 26 Anita Duty: On August 25, 2006, we sent a check for \$305.96 and that is not showing, as being
- deposited. In September 2006, we're showing a check for \$314.23 and the bank is not showing

- that deposit. In May 2015, we are showing that we sent \$60.73 and the bank is showing they
- 2 received \$50.46. That's it.
- 3 Mark Swartz: In light of those observations, you did a calculation and you compared it to the
- 4 bank balance, as of July 31, 2016?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, you're seeing a difference?
- 7 Anita Duty: The bank is showing \$200.91 more than our calculation.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. That, obviously, part of that issue is...
- 9 **Anita Duty:** The deposit.
- 10 Mark Swartz: The deposits that you've just discussed.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Looking at mailing the coal owners, everybody got their mail.
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes. You're talking about the coal owner dismissal. Sorry.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Right. I think that's all I have. Jody Baldwin is at the bottom of the first page
- and we're going to take her off. Right?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Then, we're going to leave Rodney on because he refused his mail. Right?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Then, let's look for Sandra Street. She is on here and we need to remove her
- because hers was unclaimed. Okay? Those that we've just identified are the changes we need to
- 21 make, with regard to Table 1.
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** Those have been revised, right, Ms. Ketron?
- 23 Mark Swartz: No, we need to do that.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** I'm going to, probably, ask some other questions. I hope it don't generate a
- whole, real long discussion. Ms. Duty, if you're showing \$800, in deposits, that the bank doesn't
- have accounted for, why do you think the bank is showing \$200 more than what your account is
- 27 showing?
- 28 Anita Duty: The interest. Because, especially these older accounts...

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** No. How can it be interest because the bank is showing \$200 more than
- 2 what you're showing and you say you deposited almost, I think I counted it up, as you were
- 3 rattling off, almost \$800 you deposited that the bank doesn't show a record of.
- 4 Anita Duty: I know, but those earlier accounts, they have quite a bit of interest on them. The
- 5 gained quite a bit.
- 6 Mary Quillen: Those were in 2006, you said. Right?
- 7 **Mark Swartz:** No, this is way earlier. This is, like, 2002.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** 2004, I think, is what she testified.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** There are some of these, some months, that there's \$150 worth of interest added
- onto these, as they go.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** How large is the account?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** I'm sorry.
- 13 **Mark Swartz:** Give him an example.
- 14 Anita Duty: If you look around 2007, most of those are right around \$100. That one month was
- \$200. So, the interest kind of takes care of.... They put that in there and we didn't say we sent it.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** Total interest and fees. I'm seeing a lot of negative numbers in that column,
- as well.
- Anita Duty: But, over the life of the account, \$3,400 has been added to it. So, when you offset
- what they didn't apply, to the interest and fees, you're still a positive.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Now, the more recent accounts, because the interest rate has been so bad, that
- 22 could not have happened. This account looks like we were depositing money as early as
- 23 February 2002.
- Anita Duty: Even, like in 2006, you're talking about \$75 and \$80 a month. Then, you start
- 25 getting to where you're almost \$100. Then, at one month, \$200. Then, I guess, in 2009, that's
- 26 when the interest goes down to what we see, today. Some of these older ones, they have a large
- amount of interest. They just offset each other is the only explanation I can.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** In 2007, it's kind of high interest, too. Okay. Thank you. Any other
- 29 questions from the Board?

- 1 **Donnie Ratliff:** Since you started this, Mr. Chairman.... Anita, do you have a total for Table 1,
- 2 for the dollars that's on this, even though it's a date-certain, not up-to-date, do you have a total for
- 3 that?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Like the balance?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** For Table 1. Of all the disbursements. I added it up and it's, like, \$18,422.
- 6 When you go to your spreadsheet, that pretty well takes this balance of this account to zero.
- 7 Anita Duty: I don't have a total handy. Let me see.
- 8 **Donnie Ratliff:** I mean, this number here, I'm within \$100. \$18,422.64 is what I added Table 1
- 9 to be.
- 10 Anita Duty: So, the only thing that looks like is remaining in escrow is 0.19 acres. After the
- disbursement is done.... I have acreage. I don't really have dollars. It looks like the only thing
- remaining is going to be 0.0515 acres, in escrow.
- 13 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.
- 14 **Anita Duty:** So, it will pretty much take care of it.
- 15 Mark Swartz: If you look at the last page of Exhibit E, it gives that acreage and it says the
- percent of unit remaining is .0644%, so you're right, but it's going to be a fairly tiny number.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** I've got a calculation of what everybody would have been due, if everybody would
- have turned their stuff in. Most of them are around \$8.91, \$3.45, the ones that are remaining.
- 19 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Do you have anything
- 21 further, Mr. Swartz?
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> I do not.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 24 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chairman.
- 25 **Donnie Rife:** Second, Mr. Chair.
- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response]
- 27 All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 28 **Board:** Yes.
- 29 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 23. A petition from CNX Gas
- 3 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- 4 Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 4C, as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2)
- 5 authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition. Those wishing
- 6 to testify, please come forward.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** You may begin, Mr. Swartz.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And you're here, again, on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard, in
- this petition, to Unit AZ118. Right?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And, we're asking for a disbursement of funds and authorization for direct-pay
- 15 here?

1

- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: The reason is Swords Creek and Sallie Breedlove have entered into a split
- agreement.
- 19 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: If you come down to Table 1, this applies to Tract 4C?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: It's a 50/50 agreement. You've identified Swords Creek and Sallie Breedlove,
- their names, and given addresses. You're asking the Board to instruct the Escrow Agent to take
- 24 20.2413% times the balance on hand when the disbursement is made, one is for Swords Creek
- and one is for Sallie Breedlove, and that will generate the dollar amount they are each to receive?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: You've got a revised Exhibit E, showing the escrow requirement, going forward.
- You've got a revised Exhibit EE, showing the pay status, going forward, if this disbursement is
- 29 approved. You have certification and notice, where both of these folks have signed for their
- 30 mail. Right?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: We've got another Exhibit J, reconciliation. Did we have deposit issues or other
- 3 transactional issues with this?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** They've all been taken care of.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, as far as you know, everything has been accounted for, in terms of
- 6 what you paid in?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Then, when you did your comparison calculation, again, we've got a difference.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** The bank is showing \$138.87 less.
- 10 Mark Swartz: You've got a note in here that talks about the adjustments that were made to
- 11 correct things.
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: That's it, Mr. Chairman.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Just for clarification, to make the point I made earlier, Ms. Duty testified
- that the bank has \$138.87 more. That's not showing a negative number.
- 16 Anita Duty: Less.
- 17 Mark Swartz: The chart shows more, but it's a negative number, if you just wanted to compare
- the bank to us.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Sorry to raise the point.
- 20 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> It's okay. We are going to straighten that out because you're right. It's confusing
- and we're going to show a negative.
- 22 Anita Duty: If it's negative, the bank's going to be less, going forward. Right now, it's the
- 23 opposite.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 25 Mark Swartz: It's a crap shoot, right now, but going forward, we're going to get it squared up.
- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you. Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Do
- 27 I have a motion?
- 28 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.

- 1 Bill Harris: Second.
- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 3 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 4 **Board:** Yes.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

6 <u>Item Number 25</u>

- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 25. A petition from CNX Gas
- 8 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- 9 Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 1 & 3D, as depicted upon the annexed table; (2)
- authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3)
- dismissal of coal owners. Docket Number VGOB-03-0218-1116-02. All parties wishing to
- testify please come forward.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 15 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Anita, please state your name.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this
- 18 petition?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And, we're asking for a disbursement from escrow, authorization for direct pay,
- 21 going forward, and we're going to address some coal owner dismissals, as well.
- 22 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: The reasons are we've got a split agreement. We've got the operation of House
- Bill 2058. Then, we've given some 45-day notices, without responses. Right?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: If we go to Table 1, actually we have two tables, here. Correct?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: We've got a Table 1, which is an exact dollar amount because we had a
- 2 transaction, or a sale?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Under Table 1, the Escrow Agent should be directed to pay Daniel Lee Keen
- 5 (and you've given his address) the exact sum of \$1,591.30.
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Then, if we go to Table 2, this is a percentage table?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 9 Mark Swartz: With regard to the tracts identified there, you have given the name and address
- of each person or company that is supposed to receive a payment.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: This is in the third column, then, from the right-hand side, you've given a
- percentage for each person who is receiving a payment, that the Escrow Agent should use to
- multiply times the balance on hand, to generate the dollar amount.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: But, these Table 2 payments should only be made after the Table 1 payment has
- been made and deducted from the escrow account.
- 18 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: You've given us a revised Exhibit E, which deals with escrow, going forward.
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> A revised Exhibit EE, disclosing payment status, going forward. Correct?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: We've got proof of mailing. We've got an unclaimed. Who's that, Tucker?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** The previous owner.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Daniel and Rebecca Tucker. So, the previous owner, that's going to receive the
- \$1,000, didn't claim his/her mail. I guess they could deduct the \$1,000 from the escrow account,
- theoretically, make the calculations, make the disbursements and we'll just know that that money
- is in there for these people, if they ever show up. Right? That ought to work.

- 1 Anita Duty: If that's what we want to do, yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Have you followed that?
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** No.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Because we didn't get mail to the people who are to get the defined amount,
- 5 under Table 1, we can't pay them. Okay?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** The previous owner.
- 7 Mark Swartz: The previous owner. But, if the Escrow Agent is instructed to subtract the
- 8 amount in Table 1 from the balance on hand, when it's going to make the disbursements, and
- 9 apply the percentages to what's left, they'll be able to pay those people and we won't have to wait
- 10 for the people that didn't claim their mail.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** But, every disbursement after this, we have to remember that we did that and we
- have to do it every time, after.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Right. That's your choice.
- 14 **Bill Harris:** Can we re-visit that motion earlier?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** So, we may be able to re-mail. We could try re-mailing it before we do that,
- maybe, and see if they will claim it because that's, kind of, going to mess up something, going
- forward, when you have a one-time, exact, dollar payment.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** We've done those, before.
- 19 Mark Swartz: The problem is it's staying in there, so unless you subtract this every time you do
- 20 a future disbursement...
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** The future balance.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** So, you're proposing to pay Daniel Keen \$1,591, but you're not going
- to...you're going to leave that balance in there?
- 24 Mark Swartz: We need to figure out a way to subtract that money, the exact-pay number, from
- 25 the escrow balance, when the Escrow Agent makes the percentage calculations. Otherwise, it's
- 26 going to be wrong. So, we have to instruct them to subtract that \$1,500 and, then, apply the
- 27 percentages, but leave the \$1,500 in. What Anita is saying, if we do that, then if there are future
- disbursements, before these people get their \$1,500, we need to remember every time.
- 29 **Mary Quillen:** Why can't we go back and approve all of these, with the exception of that one?
- 30 **Mark Swartz:** Because the numbers will all be wrong.

- Anita Duty: Because he's a one-time payment, an exact dollar amount. He's a previous owner.
- 2 Mary Quillen: Okay. So, he's not going to be getting anything.
- 3 Mark Swartz: The other choice is to just not pay all these people because that guy didn't pick
- 4 up his mail.
- 5 Anita Duty: It would give us a chance to re-mail. We could do that and, then, they could know
- 6 whether or not we re-mailed.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Give us 30 days and we'll try to re-mail and, if we can't though, then we still
- 8 need.... I don't think we want to hold these other people hostage.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman:** May I ask a question, just for clarification? So, we've got a set of people that
- are up for disbursement and one out of the set can't be disbursed, for notice reasons. You can't
- do the disbursement and just keep...?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** He's a previous owner.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Entitle to money, through a date, which is a calculated number, as opposed to a
- percentage. If they all got a percentage, it would never be screwed up. We could do it. That's
- why we can pull people out.
- Paul Kugelman: How can you do the disbursement, now, if it's so difficult? That's what I don't
- 17 understand.
- 18 Mark Swartz: The way to do this would be to take that \$1,500 out of the balance, so you have
- an adjusted balance, apply the percentages that we have for these other people. They'll have the
- 20 right amounts on the checks.
- 21 **Paul Kugelman:** Right.
- 22 Mark Swartz: The \$1,500 didn't get paid out, so it's still in escrow, if the guy shows up. We
- can write him his \$1,500 check. That would all work, but as Anita says, if we do that, and we're
- 24 not going to have a zero balance in the escrow. So, there's going to be future disbursements.
- 25 They're all going to be wrong unless we remember to withdraw the \$1,500 before we make the
- 26 calculations.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** How's the bank going to red-flag \$1,500 every time, going forward?
- 28 Mark Swartz: We need to tell them.
- 29 **Rick Cooper:** I guess the easiest thing to do to resolve this, instead of putting the burden on
- 30 someone trying to track that, is remove Tract 1 from the petition. Then, we can disburse people
- on Tract 3.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Is this one, Daniel Keen, the only one in Tract...? No, he's not.
- 2 **Rick Cooper:** No, it's the other people, too, but I agree. It gives the potential error, in the
- 3 future. I guess we don't need to set the bank up.
- 4 Mark Swartz: That's not going to work. No, what you're proposing is not going to work. You
- 5 still need to take the \$1,500 out.
- 6 Anita Duty: No, he's saying remove the old owner and the new owner and be done with it. Or,
- 7 we could just try to re-mail and everything is good the way it is.
- 8 **Rick Cooper:** Or, continue this to another date.
- 9 Mary Quillen: Continue it until November and re-mail.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And try to re-mail.
- 11 Mary Quillen: That's going to be the simplest thing. Nobody wants to say it. I'll say it.
- 12 **Rick Cooper:** I don't know if you can do it in November. You might want to move it to
- December, if they're 30-day notice.
- 14 Mary Quillen: Oh, that's right! 30-days.
- 15 **Rick Cooper:** I guess I'd ask Anita, on that. It's a short time period, between here and the next
- one, a week later.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** I'm going to mail it tomorrow.
- 18 Mark Swartz: We don't need to give them 30 days' notice. If they sign for their mail, we're
- 19 good.
- 20 **Anita Duty:** We're just giving them a chance.
- 21 Mark Swartz: If they don't, we'll do what we're talking about or remove the whole tract.
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** But, if you remove the whole tract, that's putting Tucker and Virginia
- 23 Resources and Raymond Slate and Swords Creek. They won't get any money, if you remove the
- 24 whole tract.
- 25 Anita Duty: No, the only person that we were going to pay out today was Daniel Keen and
- Virginia Resources and Swords Creek, just that tract.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** Rick suggested we remove the whole tract. There's other people in the tract.
- 28 **Rick Cooper:** It makes the proportional share incorrect, when there's any remaining people.

- 1 Anita Duty: There are four owners on Tract 1, along with the coal owner that has a royalty
- 2 split. Only one of those people we were going to disburse, which is Daniel Keen, which is he is
- 3 the one that didn't sign for his mail. So, if you want us to remove Tract 1 from the disbursement,
- 4 we can do that and, then, we can still pay Tract 3D.
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** Or, continue it until a later date.
- 6 Anita Duty: Or, continue it.
- 7 **Donnie Ratliff:** Could you write the check and let DGO hold it until...?
- 8 **Rick Cooper:** I don't think DGO wants to hold anything.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Let me see the date on this.
- 10 Mary Quillen: Listen. If we postpone it until November, and you go ahead and mail that out,
- and if you don't get that back, then you can...
- 12 **Anita Duty:** We'll be prepared when we come here.
- 13 Mary Quillen: To remove all of Tract 1 and proceed, as Rick has suggested.
- 14 **Anita Duty:** The W-9 is dated August 2015, so it's newer. He's on several units. I know we've
- disbursed, before. I guess he just didn't pick it up, so you want us to re-mail and, if he doesn't
- pick it up before we come to the next month's hearing, we'll have him removed before we get
- 17 here, that tract removed.
- 18 **Mary Quillen:** Yes.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Do we have any questions? We'll continue it until December. [No
- 20 response] Okay. That Docket Item is continued until December.
- 21 Mary Quillen: Until December?
- 22 <u>Bradley Lambert:</u> Yes. They'll need until December.
- 23 Mark Swartz: It affects about \$7,000.

24 Item Number 26

- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** We're going to call Docket Item Number 26. A petition from CNX Gas
- 26 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- 27 Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to a portion of Tract 3, as depicted upon the annexed table;
- 28 (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3)
- 29 dismissal of coal owner. Docket Number VGOB-04-1116-1358-01. All parties wishing to
- 30 testify please come forward.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty. We need to continue this because we are trying to
- 2 get some new tract ID's and a plat.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 4 Mark Swartz: She's trying to find out how long it's going to take.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 6 Mark Swartz: I'll let you know, in just one moment, if we need December or January.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** Sure.
- 8 **Mark Swartz:** January
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** That item will be continued until January 2017.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Great. Thank you.

11 <u>Item Number 27</u>

- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 27. A petition from CNX Gas
- 13 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 1D, as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2)
- authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed to the royalty split agreement
- that's listed in the petition. This is Docket Number VGOB-05-0419-1427-03. All parties
- wishing to testify please come forward.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us, again.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And, we're asking the Board to consider a disbursement from escrow, direct pay
- of some folks, going forward, and to address dismissal of some coal owners. Right?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, we have some people who entered into a split agreement and that's why
- we're here.

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Table 1 shows the result of a 50/50 split agreement. Correct?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Between Swords Creek Land Partnership and Daniel Ray and Rebecca Tucker.
- 5 Let's see if they got their mail. They did not claim their mail. I thought that was a familiar
- 6 name. They came up, once before, today.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Those are just the ones.
- 8 **Bill Harris:** The one we just consider.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** So, we might as well re-mail this one.
- 10 Mark Swartz: We need to continue this to December, as well. We'll re-mail it and see if we
- 11 can fix that, by re-mailing.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** That docket item will be continued until December.

13 <u>Item Number 28</u>

- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 28. A petition from CNX Gas
- 15 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to a portion of Tract 2C, as depicted upon the annexed table;
- 17 (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3)
- 18 Incorporate the dismissal of coal owner(s) previously dismissed under a board order. This is
- 19 Docket Number VGOB-06-0117-1558-02. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us.
- 23 Anita Duty: Anita Duty.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And, we have another request for disbursement, direct pay and dismissal. Right?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: The basis, here, is we have the impact of House Bill 2058 and we've got some
- 2 dismissals, also, under the House Bill. Right?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: If we go to Table 1, it pertains to Tract 2C?
- 5 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, have you provided the name and address of each person or company that
- 7 should receive a disbursement?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And, for each person or company, have you provided, in the second column
- from the right-hand edge, a percentage that the Escrow Agent should use, apply it to the balance
- on hand when the disbursement is made, and that calculation will, then, generate the dollar
- amount for each person or company? Right?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay. If that happens, you have given a revised Exhibit E, that shows the
- escrow requirements, at that point, going forward. You've also given an Exhibit EE, showing the
- pay status, going forward.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: You've got the mailing, here. You've got Lois Casey. I think you re-mailed to
- 19 her and got her, if I'm not mistaken. Do you see that?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes, we did.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, we got everybody?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: We've got an Exhibit J, reconciliation. Do we have any corrections or issues
- 24 with that?
- 25 Anita Duty: We show that all the deposits are accounted for. There's something wrong with
- this calculation, at the bottom. All the deposits are accounted for, so I don't know what that \$624
- is. I don't think it's off \$624, but I can't find where the problem is at.
- 28 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 29 **Anita Duty:** For every check we wrote, we found a deposit, in the bank.

- 1 Mark Swartz: So, it would have to be a fees and interest issue, then, I guess.
- 2 **Anita Duty:** It must be. I just don't know. I found this correction.
- 3 Mary Quillen: How old is this?
- 4 Mark Swartz: It started in '08.
- 5 Anita Duty: I found one issue, where, in February 2015, a deposit was missing, but the
- 6 following month, they put it in, as a correction. It's for \$626.41, which is what is odd, that
- 7 that's...
- 8 Mary Quillen: Oh, so it looks like it's double.
- 9 Anita Duty: It's not really off that. Something is wrong with this and I don't know. Maybe, we
- just need to start over, but all the deposits are there. The one that we did find missing was put in,
- as a correction.
- Mary Quillen: What did you show as the overage for the bank, was \$600 what?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** We were showing that the bank was short \$624.33.
- 14 Mary Quillen: Oh.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** The correction that we were showing was \$626.41.
- 16 **Mary Quillen:** It looks like they transposed.
- 17 **Mark Swartz:** But, then, we you do the math, it doesn't work.
- Anita Duty: Yes, so there's something wrong. I don't know what it is. I don't know if you want
- to continue it and let me try to figure it out a little bit more. I don't know.
- 20 Mary Quillen: With that much money, I think maybe we ought to continue it.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** All the deposits are there.
- Bill Harris: What have we done, in the past? Haven't we had this situation before, where we've
- continued it, in the past?
- 24 Anita Duty: One of the ones you're seeing, now.... A lot of the ones you saw, today, we're
- showing that we have problems with the reconcile reports. Those are the ones, I think, Mr.
- 26 Cooper is putting them on the docket, now, instead of them sitting there, with problems. He's
- 27 putting them on the docket, for you all to figure out. I don't know if we've really got a record
- built for what we've done, in the past.
- 29 **Bill Harris:** Okay.

- 1 Mary Quillen: With \$600, I really think it needs...
- 2 <u>Bill Harris:</u> But, this dates back to another Escrow Agent. Doesn't it?
- 3 Mary Quillen: Yes, it does. It's because the numbers are so close. I want to say that they have
- 4 offset a debit and a credit.
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes, it's something like that. I just haven't found it, yet.
- 6 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 7 Mark Swartz: If we find a deposit for every check we've written, which Anita is saying we
- 8 have, then it's an interest and fees issue and we don't have an ability to address that.
- 9 Mary Quillen: And, because of the agency that was the Escrow Agent, at that time, you're
- unable to track it because they say they have no record.
- 11 Mark Swartz: They might be able to look at their fees and interest, but we can't.
- 12 **Mary Quillen:** In 2006?
- 13 Mark Swartz: When did we change to these people?
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** '10.
- 15 Mary Quillen: It was the immediate past that they say there's no records available. That's what
- 16 I'm saying.
- 17 Mark Swartz: We might want to move forward, here, especially when we've got it now. We're
- pretty sure it's in the fees and interest.
- 19 **Rick Cooper:** I would tell the Board, all records we have for Wachovia, we have scanned and
- 20 they are posted on the internet, for all years that we have, are all posted to view.
- 21 Mark Swartz: We're using those numbers, but it's still not working.
- 22 Anita Duty: We've built a database with all of the reports that are out online. So, whenever we
- do these reports, it's just a matter of running a query and the numbers come in.
- 24 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** What's the Board's pleasure? Do you just want to move forward with it
- 26 or...?
- 27 **Bill Harris:** I think we need to move forward with it. I'm not sure that we need to ask Ms. Duty
- to re-visit this, especially since all of the deposits are accounted for.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 2 **Donnie Rife:** Something's wrong.
- 3 **Anita Duty:** All the deposits are there.
- 4 Mary Quillen: They have transposed, somehow, debits and credits because the numbers are too
- 5 close. And, for no more money than there was, I think that interest and fees, \$600 is a
- 6 little...even though the interest rates were higher, then. Of course, it's hard to say how much has
- 7 been paid out from that.
- 8 Mark Swartz: There have been no disbursements on this account.
- 9 Mary Quillen: There's been no disbursements?
- 10 Mark Swartz: Correct. If you net their fees and interest, they're almost \$1,400, to the plus,
- using their records.
- Mary Quillen: Oh! Well, because the interest rates were high, in 2006.
- 13 Mark Swartz: On the plus.
- 14 Mary Quillen: Yes. It, very well, could be, then.
- Donnie Rife: We better be finding out because you've not had any disbursements. This, right
- here, ought to be as simple as pie. No disbursements.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Well, not for us, because we've got a bank that's no longer contracting with you
- all. We've got all these numbers that we can't account for, the bank numbers. We found a
- deposit for every one of our checks.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Well, just March '15 is showing interest of \$636. March '15 is showing
- 21 interest of \$636.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> It's in their column, somewhere.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** That's because you're including that correction of \$626.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** They've added that to the interest, so that can't be right.
- 25 Mark Swartz: If you put that back in the balance, then we're only off \$2, aren't we?
- 26 **Mary Quillen:** Yes.
- 27 **Anita Duty:** That's what I'm saying. I don't think it is off. It's just there's a problem with how
- this spreadsheet....

- 1 Mark Swartz: That affected what you're talking about. We're within \$2, even though...
- **Anita Duty:** Because all the deposits are there.
- **Paul Kugelman:** Will the disbursements still be correct?
- **Bradley Lambert:** No disbursements.
- **Donnie Rife:** There are no disbursements. It ought to be about as simple as you can get.
- **Anita Duty:** You would think.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Board, do we want to move forward?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Yes.
- **Bill Harris:** I think so.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. You can continue, Mr. Swartz. Are you done?
- 11 Mark Swartz: Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bill Harris:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

19 <u>Item Number 29</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 29. A petition from CNX Gas
- 21 Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the
- Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to a portion of Tracts 1D, 1G & 2C, as depicted upon the
- annexed table; (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the
- petition; and (3) dismissal of coal owner. This is Docket Number VGOB-06-0117-1559-02. All
- 25 parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- **Mark Swartz:** Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name, again.
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: The reasons we're here. We've got some split agreements. We've got the
- 6 operation of House Bill 2058 and we've got some coal claimants to deal with. Right?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: If you go to Table 1, this is a percentage disbursement. It pertains to a portion of
- 9 Tract 1D, 1G & 2C. Correct?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And, you've identified the people and/or companies and/or government agency,
- by name. Correct?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 **Mark Swartz:** Have you provided an address for everybody?
- 15 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And, in the second column from the right-hand side of the page, you've given a
- 17 percentage that the Escrow Agent should use when calculating the dollar amounts.
- 18 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And, that percentage should be multiplied times the balance on hand, when the
- dollars are being calculated. That will, then, generate a dollar, for each person.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: You've got a revised Exhibit E, which shows the escrow requirements, going
- forward, after the disbursements?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Same thing for payments, going forward. You've got a revised Exhibit EE?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: You've got an affidavit of mailing and everybody got their mail?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Daniel Lee Keen picked up his mail, here.
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes, but not for the other units.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Right. You've got a reconciliation. Do we have any adjustments to that?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Were you able to find a deposit for every royalty check?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: When you looked at whether or not there was a difference, a miracle occurred.
- 9 Anita Duty: Yes. Zero.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** We'll record that one.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Yes. That's not going to happen, again.
- 12 **Anita Duty:** That one started in 2011.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Still, it's pretty cool.
- 14 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** It's in red, though. Does that mean something? I'm sorry.
- 15 **Mark Swartz:** No, you're right.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Christmas is coming.
- 17 Mark Swartz: We've got coal owner mailing and let's see if they got their mail. Yes, Swords
- 18 Creek signed for their mail. Right?
- 19 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And, they need to be dismissed, to the extent that they don't have a split
- agreement. This is one of those hybrids, where they've got a split agreement on some people, but
- on others, they don't. So, they're going to be paid and dismissed. I think that's all I have, Mr.
- 23 Chairman.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Do I have a motion?
- 25 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 26 Bill Harris: Second.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 2 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 3 **Board:** Yes.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.
- 5 **Mark Swartz:** Thank you.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you.
- 7 <u>Bill Harris:</u> May I just make a quick comment? With this red plus and minuses, I almost like to
- 8 see black being used where a difference is...
- 9 **Anita Duty:** It's positive.
- 10 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** Well, now, how do you define positive?
- 11 Anita Duty: What I'm going to do is always report what the bank is showing. If the bank is
- negative, it's going to be... I'm just going to say what the bank is, either short.
- 13 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** So, if it's red.... I'm trying to change the rules, I guess. If it's black, that means the
- bank has more money than you're depositing. If it's red, then the bank has less money than
- 15 you're depositing.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** That's what we're doing.
- 17 **Bill Harris:** Oh, okay.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** It will have a negative if it's...
- 19 **Bill Harris:** Well, see...
- 20 **Anita Duty:** You don't want a negative.
- 21 **Bill Harris:** No, I'm just saying that part of the confusion is when do you use a negative and
- 22 how is that defined?
- Anita Duty: It's always defined as to what the bank has--either more or less. The bank, not
- 24 CNX, just the bank. If the bank has more, it will be black. If the bank has less, it will be red.
- 25 Does that work?
- 26 **Bill Harris:** Yes, that works.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Or a negative. I think it needs to be both.

- 1 <u>Bill Harris:</u> I want to do away with.... That's the problem. We've been using the red, a negative
- and, then, red and positive. The red, to me, means that somebody's behind somebody else.
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** Right.
- 4 Anita Duty: But, you've got to realize there's going to be a transition. We may come here, next
- 5 month, and there will be some that are still going to be like this.

6 <u>Item Number 30</u>

- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 30. The Board will receive an update of
- 8 Board and Division activities from the staff. Mr. Cooper.
- 9 **Rick Cooper:** It's so great, that we got to that point. I guess I won't reiterate everything, but the
- bank reported the disbursements. We record them, differently, at Gas and Oil. For the record,
- since the coal dismissal was approved, in July of last year, as of current, we have disbursed
- \$8,400,000, since last fiscal year, since July 2015. In total, since January of last year, a little
- over \$10,000,000. I don't need to talk about the unfunded that Anita's going to have taken care
- of before the next meeting.
- 15 **Donnie Rife:** That's pretty impressive.
- Anita Duty: It's not a lot of money, though. It's probably, like, \$300. I take that back. One of
- them is \$800 and another one is \$2,000. The other ones are smaller.
- 18 **Rick Cooper:** That's correct. So, again, those unfunded got established earlier, with a different
- 19 process. Once we do away with those, we will no longer have unfunded accounts. The other
- thing I wanted to point out, Ms. Gilmer and Ms. Ketron have worked, I would say, since the first
- 21 week they have been here, trying to clear up these supplemental orders that have to be sent in.
- Just to let you know, I hate to say it out loud, but I'd say we had five or six-hundred of those, that
- were outstanding, over a period of years, that we have cleaned up. It's been with the help of all
- the companies, too. They've been very cooperative, but there was no processes in place,
- 25 historically, to track that, other than someone put it in a file and kept up with it and I think we've
- 26 had a history of losing things from that type of process. So, we have implemented a new
- 27 process, moving forward, that once you all approve a pooling order, here, we work with our IT
- 28 group and there is an electronic mailing system that, after 60 days, will send an email to all
- pertinent parties, all the CNX people, if they're pooling, and all of our people, telling them that
- we have a supplemental due. After 90 days, if it's not in, I guess, we would have to have some
- 31 type of justification or bring it to the Board. So, we have put this process in place to track this. I
- do not see why we could ever allow it to have 400 and 500 of these, past due, in doing this
- electronically. It just makes it more efficient for everyone. So, we have that kicked in and it
- turned on, last week, and six, we call them tickers, went out. Two went to CNX. Two went to

- 1 Magnum Hunter. Two went to EnerVest, for past due supplements. So, we hope to track that
- better, in the future, and once we get all this caught up, it will never go back that way, again.
- 3 Mary Quillen: Six sure beats 500, doesn't it?
- **Rick Cooper:** It does. I said that, real simple, but it has taken years to get to that point. Owe
- 5 most of that to Ms. Gilmer and Ms. Ketron. They have put a lot of time in that.
- 6 Mary Quillen: Thank you, ladies.
- **Rick Cooper:** That's it.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Cooper.

9 <u>Item Number 31</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions, comments, additions, subtractions that we need from the
- 11 September minutes? We didn't have a September meeting. Yes, we did.
- **Rick Cooper:** We skipped August.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. If not, I'll ask for approval of those minutes.
- **Donnie Rife:** Approval of the minutes, provided nobody has anything to add or subtract.
- **Bradley Lambert:** All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay, I need a motion for dismissal.
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made, please.
- **Bill Harris:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** All in favor indicate by saying goodbye.
- **Board:** Goodbye.